

2021-10

# Community-based Forest Landscape Restoration (FLR): Determinants and policy implications in Tanzania

Owusu, Raphael

Elsevier

---

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105664>

*Provided with love from The Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology*

# Community-based Forest Landscape Restoration (FLR): Determinants and policy implications in Tanzania

Raphael Owusu, Jude Ndzifon Kimengsi, Francis Moyo

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105664>

## Abstract

Forest landscape restoration (FLR) has gained global scientific and political attention in recent times, with many sub-Saharan African countries committing to restoration targets. Despite the commitments, there is a dearth of information on the factors shaping community participation in FLR in the context of sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). To close this gap, this study explored Babati District in Tanzania to investigate determinants, choices and policy implications of community-based FLR. A total of 98 households were surveyed in two villages, complemented by four experts' interviews. We employed a hybrid analytical lens; the socio-ecological co-evolution, and the livelihoods framework for the study. The logistic [regression analyses](#) revealed that: (i) while both perceived monetary and non-monetary benefits shape households' interest in FLR, asset-poor households are more interested in the monetary benefits than their rich counterparts, (ii) households FLR choices are largely shaped by the effectiveness of community-based institutions, (iii) natural, financial and social capital positively shaped households' choices in FLR while a negative link was established for physical capital, and (iv) crop farmers choose to participate in FLR activities by growing a mixture of fruit and native timber tree species while those in other professions participated by planting only fruit trees species. Policy interventions which valorise community-based institutions should be prioritized to ensure equitable land access and tenure security, and promote the growing of native species. This would increase trust on [land tenure](#) and would invariably enhance participation in FLR activities. Moreover, policy incentives (e.g., training, micro-credit and market access) should be introduced to foster agro-based restoration approaches – involving the deliberate combination of tree-planting with crop-farming (agroforestry). Finally, policy support for alternative livelihood strategies such as bee keeping, [butterfly](#) gardening, snail and mushroom farming should be promoted to reduce monetary expectations from FLR interventions.

