

2021-12-13

# Advancement and practical applications of rhizobacterial biofertilizers for sustainable crop production in sub-Saharan Africa

Aloo, Becky

BioMed Central

---

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40066-021-00333-6>


*Provided with love from The Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology*

REVIEW

Open Access



# Advancement and practical applications of rhizobacterial biofertilizers for sustainable crop production in sub-Saharan Africa

B. N. Aloo<sup>1\*</sup> , E. R. Mbega<sup>2</sup>, J. B. Tumuhairwe<sup>3</sup> and B. A. Makumba<sup>4</sup>

## Abstract

Agricultural intensification continues in Africa in attempts to meet the rising food demands of the equally rising population. However, most arable lands in the region are characterized by nutrient deficiency and over-reliance on synthetic fertilizers which consequently contributes to increased production costs, environmental pollution, and global warming. Decades of research on plant–rhizobacterial interactions have led to the formulation and commercialization of rhizobacterial biofertilizers globally for sustainable soil and crop health. Nevertheless, this promising technology has not received much attention in Africa and remains largely unexplored due to several constraints. This article discusses the practical applications of rhizobacterial biofertilizers for sustainable crop production in sub-Saharan Africa. The challenges of soil infertility and the use of conventional synthetic fertilizers in crop production in Africa are critically evaluated. An overview of the potential of rhizobacteria as biofertilizers and alternatives to synthetic fertilizers for soil fertility and crop productivity in the continent is also provided. The advantages that these biofertilizers present over their synthetic counterparts and the status of their commercialization in the African region are also assessed. Finally, the constraints facing their formulation, commercialization, and utilization and the prospects of this promising technology in the region are deliberated upon. Such knowledge is valuable towards the full exploitation and adoption of this technology for sustainable agriculture for Africa's food security.

**Keywords:** Biofertilizers, Sustainable agriculture, Soil fertility, Rhizobacteria

## Introduction

The world's population is growing and projections are that Africa will contribute to about 60% of this increase by the year 2050 and become home to more than 2.5 billion people [1]. Agriculture is one of the pillars for food and economic security in Africa, employing up to 65% of the labor force and contributing to over 30% of the national gross domestic product [2]. Notwithstanding, the continent's agricultural efficiency is largely affected by low soil fertility and nutrient deficiencies [3, 4]. The

continuous application of artificial fertilizers in attempts to increase yields continues to elicit numerous concerns worldwide regarding the sustainability of food production systems [5]. The problem is no longer just to produce enough food, but to do so sustainably [6]. Therefore, alternative and sustainable crop fertilization mechanisms should be embraced in Africa.

The exploitation of plant–soil microbe interactions is recognized as an important avenue to achieving sustainable agriculture globally [7]. As such, plant rhizospheres have been the center of focus of researchers for decades worldwide due to their importance in soil and crop health [8]. The bacteria associated with plant rhizospheres are generally termed rhizobacteria [9]. A majority of these bacteria can positively influence plant growth

\*Correspondence: aloobecky@yahoo.com; baloo@uoeld.ac.ke

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, University of Eldoret, P. O.

Box 1125-30100, Eldoret, Kenya

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



and are referred to as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) [10]. The PGPR can promote plant growth either directly or indirectly, for example through the production of phytohormones and siderophores, solubilization of nutrients, and biological nitrogen (N) fixation (BNF) [11]. Many of these strains can also improve the ability of plants to tolerate abiotic environmental stresses such as soil salinity, acidity, and alkalinity [12]. The beneficial aspects of these bacterial communities have also been demonstrated in several important crops in

different African countries (Table 1), demonstrating their immense potential for crop fertilization and productivity.

Biofertilizers or microbial inoculants are living microorganisms that can promote plant growth when directly applied to seeds or soil [13–15]. The use of rhizobacterial biofertilizers is now gathering momentum and many PGPR are presently being used as inoculants to enhance nutrient uptake and crop yields while reducing the use of chemical fertilizers [16]. Although some studies in Africa have proven that PGPR can substantially increase plant

**Table 1** Examples of studies documenting plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in Africa

Crop	PGPR	PGP effects	Place of study	References
Common Beans	<i>Rhizobium</i>	285% increase in Fe, 67% increase in Zn	Tanzania	[17]
	<i>Rhizobium</i> spp.	Increased shoot dry weight and nodule weight	Kenya	[18]
	<i>Rhizobium</i> spp.	Increased uptake of nutrients	Tanzania	[19]
	<i>Rhizobium</i> spp.	Improved yields	South Africa	[20]
	<i>Rhizobium</i>	Increased seed yields over control	Kenya	[21]
	<i>Rhizobia</i>	Significant yield increase	Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda	[22]
Chickpea	<i>Rhizobium</i>	Up to 138% increase in yield	Ethiopia	[23]
Cowpea	<i>Rhizobia</i>	Increased yields	Kenya	[24]
	<i>Bradyrhizobium</i>	Improved nodulation, dry matter, and grain yield	Ghana	[25]
Flax	<i>Azospirillum</i> , <i>Azotobacter</i>	50% reduction in fertilizer use	Egypt	[26]
	<i>Bacillus</i>	Enhanced productivity	Egypt	[27]
Maize	<i>Paenibacillus alvei</i> , <i>B. safensis</i> , <i>B. pumilus</i> , <i>Lysinibacillus sphaericus</i>	33% yield increments	South Africa	[28]
	<i>Azotobacter</i> , <i>Azospirillum</i>	100% reduction in fertilizer use	Egypt	[27]
	<i>Pseudomonas</i> , <i>Klebsiella oxytoca</i> , <i>Enterobacter sakazakii</i>	Increased agronomic characteristics	Nigeria, Kenya	[29]
Mung bean	<i>Bradyrhizobium</i>	Increased nitrogen fixation and yields	Ethiopia	[30]
Okra	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	Improved growth	Nigeria	[31]
	<i>Azospirillum</i> , <i>Azotobacter</i>	100% reduction in fertilizer use	Egypt	[32]
Potato	<i>Serratia</i> , <i>Citrobacter</i> , <i>Serratia</i>	Enhanced nutrient levels in tubers and rhizosphere soils	Kenya	[33]
Rice	<i>Azolla pinnata</i> , <i>A. nilotica</i> , <i>A. filiculoides</i> , <i>A. caroliniana</i>	Increased yield and soil N content	Nigeria, South Africa, Ivory Coast, Togo, Senegal, and Kenya	[34]
Soybean	<i>Rhizobium</i>	Increased yield by 447 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Nigeria	[35]
	<i>Bradyrhizobium japonicum</i>	Increased yield and micronutrient uptake	Tanzania	[17]
	<i>Rhizobium</i>	Up to 47% increase in yields	Several countries	[36]
	<i>Rhizobium</i>	15–30% increase in yields	Kenya	[37]
	<i>Bradyrhizobium</i>	24% increase in yields	Ghana	[25]
	<i>Bradyrhizobium</i>	Significant increase in dry weight of shoot and grain yield	Kenya	[38]
	<i>Rhizobium</i>	Increased nutrients content in soil	Tanzania	[39]
	<i>Rhizobium</i>	Increased yields	Several countries	[40]
	<i>Rhizobia</i>	Significant yield increase	Ghana, Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda	[22]
Sweet fennel	<i>Rhizobium</i>	Enhanced productivity	Egypt	[41]
Tomato	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> , <i>Citrobacter youngae</i>	Enhanced seedling height, leaf area, number of leaves	Nigeria	[42]
	<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	Improved growth	Nigeria	[31]

growth (Table 1), the concept of rhizobacterial biofertilizers still seems far-fetched and there is very little applicability.

The present review discusses the advancement and practical applications of rhizobacterial biofertilizers as alternatives to synthetic fertilizers for sustainable agriculture in Africa. The state of soil infertility and the challenges related to the use of synthetic fertilizers for crop production in Africa are evaluated. An overview of the potential of rhizobacteria as biofertilizers and alternatives to synthetic fertilizers for soil fertility and crop productivity is also evaluated extensively.

The benefits offered by these microbial products over their synthetic counterparts, together with the constraints still facing their formulation and commercialization in Africa and the state of their commercialization in the continent are carefully articulated. Finally, the future perspectives and prospects for their advancement, commercialization, and practical applications in Africa are provided. Such knowledge will ultimately increase the understanding of rhizobacterial biofertilizers and provide direction on how to accelerate their utilization for the improvement of the African agroecosystems.

### **The state of soil infertility in Africa**

The worrying state of soil infertility in African countries started over two decades ago [43]. Over the years, African soils have gradually undergone severe nutrient depletion and declining fertility levels [3, 4, 44]. Many African soils are characterized by the deficiency of important plant nutrients like N, phosphorus (P), potassium (K), zinc (Zn), and iron (Fe) [4]. In East African soils, the deficiencies of N, P, and K can be as high as 90, 50, and 50%, respectively [45], resulting in low crop productivity that threatens food security in the region [46]. Older reports document that Ferralsols which occupy a sizeable portion of Africa including Angola, Zambia, Burundi, Uganda, and Cameroon and others have a low capacity to supply essential nutrients to crops [47].

Estimates of the financial implication of soil infertility in Africa reveal that close to US\$ 4 billion and 6 billion ha of land are lost annually due to nutrient mining and land degradation [48]. Earlier predictions also showed that with the ever-increasing population and demand for food, the available arable lands will continue to shrink and worsen the already low nutrient stocks in them [49]. Incomplete re-accumulation of nutrients in soils due to increasing cropping frequencies and shorter fallow intervals is also anticipated [50].

According to Sanchez [43], averages of 660 kg N, 75 kg P, and 450 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> were lost in 37 African countries in the last 30 years alone, from approximately 202 million ha of cultivated land. The annual loss of N, P, and K (NPK)

was approximated at 800,000 t for humid central Africa, 600,000 Mt for North Africa, 1.5 Mt for East Africa, and 8 Mt for sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) [49]. Soils in some African countries like Liberia and Sierra Leone and Madagascar are reportedly characterized by low fertility soils while some South African soils are also deficient in K and P [51]. Both regional and national estimates of N balances are also negative for most SSA countries [52]. Similarly, the inability of African soils to supply adequate amounts of N for crop cultivation is well documented, yet N is the most important nutrient for plant growth.

The computation of African N budgets indicates that the soils are extremely deficient in N [53], threatening food production and security [43, 44]. Most of the N deficiencies in African soils result from mining through harvested crops, overgrazing, leaching, erosion, and volatilization which altogether surpass what can be supplied in N fertilizer inputs [54]. According to Ugboh and Ulesor [55], out of over 3 billion ha of arable land in SSA, only about half a billion is free of physical and chemical constraints while 17% is acidic and affects the availability of nutrients to plants [56]. The P deficits in most African countries are so huge that it would require doubling of the global production of potash fertilizers to sustain the demand [44]. Up to 80% of smallholder maize farmlands in Kenya are extremely deficient in P [57]. Such extreme P deficiencies are probably due to the low soil pH levels and high levels of Fe and aluminum oxides in the soils [58]. Potassium deficiency is similarly common in many African soils even though the most important food and cash crops in Africa such as sugarcane, bananas, and cocoa are extremely K-demanding and withdraw a lot of K from soil [1, 44].

Although micronutrients are needed by plants in very small quantities, their deficiencies can severely limit plant growth and reduce yields [59]. Generally, the existing fertilization programs in African countries are often focused on NPK with little regard to the multiple micronutrient deficiencies [53, 58]. Consequently, the micronutrient deficiencies not only reduce crop productivity, but also cause widespread malnutrition from the production of food with poor nutritional quality [60].

### **Challenges related to the use of synthetic fertilizers for crop production in Africa**

Fertilizers are vital inputs for sufficient food production systems in Africa [61] since they can increase crop productivity multi-fold by up to 50 or 100% [44]. The annual average fertilizer consumption in African countries is estimated to range between 8.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> [62] and 42.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> [63]. Such rates are reportedly the least globally due to the high prices, untimely availability, and inadequate supply of fertilizers [44, 64]. Past and recent

recommendations are that Africa should increase its fertilizer usage to increase crop production and food security [65]. Predictions are that the growth rate of synthetic fertilizer usage in Africa will be the highest globally by the year 2030 [66]. According to forecasts from “The African Green Revolution” as reported by the African Development Bank, fertilizer consumption in Africa is expected to increase from the current usage of just about  $8 \text{ kg y}^{-1} \text{ ha}^{-1}$  to reach approximately  $50 \text{ kg y}^{-1} \text{ ha}^{-1}$  in the next few years [67]. Nevertheless, the costs and benefits of the continued usage of these artificial fertilizers continue to elicit a lot of debate. Here, we discuss the challenges related to the dependency on these fertilizers for food production in Africa, but it should be noted that some of these challenges are global.

The eutrophication of surface water bodies in SSA has largely been associated with chemical nutrient leaching and runoffs from agricultural fields [18]. These chemicals may also destabilize natural ecosystems and affect the microflora in plant rhizospheres which are extremely important for soil health and fertility [68]. Chemical fertilizers also lead to environmental pollution [69], and their long-term application may also have negative impacts on human health [13] since they can contain heavy metals, inorganic acids, and other pollutants that build up in soils and contaminate agricultural food products [70].

Perhaps the biggest challenge is that fertilizer recommendations in Africa are often generalized by region. Such ‘blanket’ recommendations do not always produce the desired effects since environmental and management factors differ even over short distances [71]. Furthermore, the fertilizers are generally composed of the major plant-limiting nutrients without consideration of the specific requirements and appropriate products for African soils [44, 45]. Most crops also exhibit low fertilizer use efficiency or respond differently to fertilizer applications in different areas [44]. According to Le Mire et al. [72], only about 10–40% of all applied N in crop fields is effectively used by plants, while approximately 60–90% escapes into the environment from the agricultural fields by nitrate leaching or  $\text{NH}_3$  volatilization. Similarly, only about 5–25% of applied P is taken up by plants while the remaining 75–95% persists in soil in insoluble forms [73]. Zinc fertilizers also dissolve very slowly in soils at rates that cannot adequately supply sufficient Zn as required by plants [74].

Synthetic fertilizers contribute to climate change and global warming through the emission of greenhouse gases (GHG) like nitrous oxide ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) from N fertilizers [75]. This continues to raise concerns worldwide especially since the global warming effect per unit weight of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is 300 times that of  $\text{CO}_2$  [76]. According to Campbell et al. [77], N fertilizers alone are responsible for

more than 30% of agricultural-related  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions. Moreover, the carbon footprint of N fertilizers is higher than those of P and K by at least one order of magnitude [78]. The production of synthetic N fertilizers also relies heavily on fossil fuels to generate the high temperatures needed to catalyze the artificial dinitrogen ( $\text{N}_2$ )-fixation process [79]. This results in the depletion of natural resources and global warming [80].

The production of artificial fertilizers is energy-intensive and extremely expensive for African countries [81]. For instance, the amount of energy required to produce 1 kg of N, P, and K fertilizers is 11.2, 1.1, and 1.0  $\text{kW h}^{-1}$ , respectively [82]. Although the demand for these fertilizers can be met by imports, the global fertilizer industry is largely dominated by international companies [83], and the cost of acquiring these fertilizers is often prohibitive for many African farmers, especially due to transportation overheads [44, 52, 81]. A very recent survey indicates that the cost of these fertilizers in the African market can be 2–6 times higher than in the United States of America due to transportation costs [18], importation duties, and storage costs alone [44]. In an analysis of the cost of acquiring urea fertilizers for maize production in East Africa and the corresponding prices of the produce by Guo et al. [84], the costs incurred for fertilizers were shown to increase with increasing market distances while the prices of maize and value-cost ratios decreased. Given that the consumption of these fertilizers exceeds their production in Africa, most countries rely on imports to supplement their demands [85], and the high costs involved are often prohibitive [86].

Perhaps the greatest problem associated with the usage of synthetic fertilizers is the looming depletion of natural resources required for their production. Research shows that the costs of synthetic P fertilizers will continue to rise due to the depletion or scarcity of rock phosphates that are used to produce them [66]. These resources are rapidly diminishing and are predicted to be completely exhausted by 2030 [87] or 2033 [88].

### **The potential roles of rhizobacteria as biofertilizers for soil fertility and crop productivity**

Most important plant nutrients such as N, P, K, Zn, and Fe occur as bound organic molecules which are inaccessible to plants [89]. Several rhizobacteria can fix atmospheric N using the nitrogenase enzyme-mediated reduction of  $\text{N}_2$  into ammonia ( $\text{NH}_3$ ) [90], which is one of the N forms that can be assimilated by plants [91]. Such rhizobacteria can be exploited as alternative N biofertilizers for crops [92]. *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium* are the most popular  $\text{N}_2$ -fixing rhizobacteria [93], and their potential for increasing the yield of legumes has also been demonstrated in Africa [30, 94]. Reports show that

*Rhizobium* biofertilizers alone can supplement about 50% of the fertilizers needed by crops in the arid and semiarid N-deficient croplands in Zimbabwe, Tanzania, and Kenya [95]. Similarly, about 48–300 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> can be fixed by BNF in legume plots [95–97]. Relatively recent estimates suggest that the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing *Azolla* in African countries such as Nigeria, South Africa, Ivory Coast, Togo, Senegal, and Kenya can be used to increase soil N content and yield of rice plantations [34]. Cognizant of this, the application of rhizobacteria has an enormous potential in Africa to sustainably maintain soil fertility.

Although N<sub>2</sub>-fixation potential is widely investigated among the symbiotic legume–*Rhizobium* interactions, reports show that nitrogenase genes occur in diverse bacterial taxa [98], and non-leguminous plants can also host N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacterial strains [33, 99, 100]. This implies that other plant–microbe interactions can similarly be important. The use of N<sub>2</sub>-fixing biofertilizers in Africa is quickly gathering momentum, especially for legume crops and subsequent crops in rotation [53], and is critical for improving soil fertility [101]. These alternative N fertilization mechanisms present a new front in crop production especially due to the high cost of N fertilizers and their apparent negative effects on the ecosystem [102]. However, information on African Rhizobia is still limited despite the potential they hold for improving legume production systems [103].

Apart from N<sub>2</sub>-fixation, some rhizobacteria can greatly improve plant nutrient uptake through nutrients solubilization [89, 104]. Evidence suggests that P solubilization and mobilization which are mediated by most rhizobacteria are invaluable at increasing P availability in agricultural soils [105, 106].

Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) can mediate the solubilization of inorganic P like tricalcium phosphate and rock phosphate to monobasic (H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>) and dibasic (HPO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) ions which are the only P forms that can be used by plants [107]. The solubilization of organic P by phosphatases and phytases by PSB is referred to as mineralization [104] and may constitute up to 5% of total P in soil [108]. Examples of some commonly studied PSB are *Azotobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Beijerinckia*, *Burkholderia*, *Enterobacter*, *Erwinia*, *Flavobacterium*, *Microbacterium*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhizobium*, and *Serratia* [67]. These rhizobacteria, therefore, present a great potential towards P nutrition for plants.

Potassium solubilizing biofertilizers (KSB) can solubilize K from insoluble K-bearing minerals using organic ligands and enzymes [104]. The ability of KSB to effectively solubilize K depends on soil types, microbial strains, and the form of K compounds [109]. Most KSB species belong to *Pseudomonas*, *Burkholderia*, *Acidothiobacillus*, *Bacillus*, and *Paenibacillus* genera [110].

Different mechanisms implicated in K solubilization such as acidolysis, chelation, exchange reactions, and production of organic acids [104] are extensively reviewed by Sarratt et al. [7]. The application of such organisms can be useful for increasing K availability to plants and should be considered as an attractive strategy for eco-friendly crop production [7].

The supply of micronutrients in agricultural soils is rapidly gaining recognition in Africa not only due to their important roles in crop productivity, but also the provision of essential micronutrients in food [3]. Zinc-solubilizing biofertilizers (ZSB) have the potential to improve plant Zn utilization [111]. Such bacteria are documented to solubilize insoluble Zn sources such as Zn Oxide (ZnO), Zn carbonates (ZnCO<sub>3</sub>), and Zn phosphates [Zn(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] which are commonly present in soils but unavailable to plants [112]. Some rhizobacteria produce siderophores which have a high affinity for binding Fe and are useful in enhancing plant Fe nutrition [113]. Besides, siderophores can also be involved in the inhibition of plant pathogens and plant bio-protection through Fe starvation and competitive exclusion in Fe-limited soils [104]. Rhizobacterial biofertilizers with this capacity can, therefore, enhance Fe acquisition by plants in Fe-limiting soils by chelating Fe from available complexes [113].

Some beneficial rhizobacteria produce plant growth regulators that influence various plant physiological processes at very low concentrations [104]. These hormones may also be indirectly involved in enhancing the tolerance of plants to abiotic environmental stresses [11]. The two most studied plant growth regulators are indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and gibberellic acid (GA) [94]. While IAA is important for root elongation, differentiation, and extension [114], GA is known to induce shoot elongation, flowering, stem elongation, and fruit setting [115]. Generally, rhizobacteria-mediated soil fertility and plant health improvement is a net result of multiple processes that may occur simultaneously and all aforementioned attributes make rhizobacteria important candidates for soil fertility and plant health [116]. The use of rhizobacterial biofertilizers could be the ultimate strategy for enhancing and maintaining soil fertility and agricultural sustainability [64].

### **The commercialization, utilization, and practical application of rhizobacterial biofertilizers in Africa**

Although many reports exist on the formulation, commercialization, and application of rhizobacteria all over the world, very few of these report on their commercialization and applications in African countries (Table 2).

A literature search revealed that in Africa, most rhizobacterial biofertilizers are commercialized in South

**Table 2** Examples of commercialized biofertilizers in some African countries

Rhizobacteria	Product name	Company	Country	References
<i>Rhizobium</i>	SeedQuestR	Soygro Ltd	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[122]
<i>Azospirillum brasilense</i>	Mazospirflo, Azo-N	Soygro Ltd	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[123]
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	LifeForce, Biostart, Waterbac, FirstBase	Microbial solution Ltd	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[124, 125]
<i>Bacillus</i> sp., <i>Enterobacter</i> spp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> , <i>Rhizobium</i>	Organo	Microbial solution Ltd	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[124]
<i>B. subtilis</i>	B-RUS, Extrasol	Ag-Chem Africa Ltd	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[124]
<i>Bradyrhizobium elkanii</i>	Likuiq Semia	Microbial Solution Ltd	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[17, 125]
<i>B. japonicum</i>	Histick	BASF South Africa Ltd	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[17]
<i>Azospirillum</i> spp.	Azo-N	BioControl Products	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[125]
<i>Bacillus</i> sp., <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp., <i>Rhizobium</i> , <i>Azotobacter</i>	Organico	Amka Products	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[125]
<i>Bradyrhizobium</i>	Nodumax	IITA <sup>b</sup>	Nigeria	[17]
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Bac up	BioControl Product SA	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[105]
<i>Bradyrhizobium japonicum</i>	Soyflo, Vault N Soy	Soygro Ltd	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[17]
<i>Azotobacter</i> sp., <i>Lactobacillus</i> sp., <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	Soil Vital Q	BioControl Products SA	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[126]
<i>Bravibacillus</i> , <i>Paenibacillus</i> , <i>Sporolactobacillus</i>	SoilFix	BioControl Products SA	S. Africa <sup>a</sup>	[127]
Not revealed	Biofix	MEA Fertilizer Ltd	Kenya	[128]

<sup>a</sup> South Africa<sup>b</sup> The International Institute of Tropical Agriculture

Africa, with little or no evidence of their commercialization and/or usage in other African countries. Zimbabwe has also invested considerably in biofertilizer usage for soybean production [117]. Adesemoye and Egamberdiva, [118] articulate some of the reported uses and prospects of microbes and PGPR in the African region. These prospects are also reported for Kenya [29], South Africa [119], Nigeria [31], Egypt [120], and Ethiopia [121]. These studies evidence the capacity of rhizobacterial bio formulations in African agriculture for crop productivity.

### Advantages of rhizobacterial biofertilizers over conventional fertilizers

Rhizobacterial biofertilizers present numerous advantages over their chemical counterparts from a global perspective. For instance, they offer environmentally friendly, low cost and efficient methods of increasing crop yields [129]. The use of biofertilizers by resource-poor farmers can increase crop yields and reduce the production costs through reduced need for chemical inputs [14]. For Africa, reports show that the cost of rhizobacterial biofertilizers is far much lower than that of synthetic fertilizers needed to deliver the same quantity of nutrients in soil. For instance, the cost of NoduMax biofertilizer in Zimbabwe and other West African countries is only \$5 ha<sup>-1</sup> compared to about \$100 cost ha<sup>-1</sup> for urea fertilizers [130]. In this regard, rhizobacterial biofertilizers are an economically viable technology for increasing sustainable crop production in Africa.

Apart from enhancing plant growth and yields by increasing soil fertility and nutrient availability, the use of rhizobacterial biofertilizers also reduces the environmental pollution caused by chemical fertilizers and protects plants against many soil-borne pathogens [131]. These bio-products can also alleviate abiotic stresses in plants [132]. Additionally, they are safer to apply and their activities are more specific and effective in small quantities and they can survive to the next cropping seasons, unlike their artificial counterparts. For instance, the continuous use of these biofertilizers is advanced to enable the beneficial microbial populations to build up in soils, thus constantly maintaining soil fertility [133].

Unlike synthetic fertilizers where up to 50% of the applied fertilizers are lost by leaching and/or fixation in soil, biofertilizers are not prone to leaching and fixation in soil, and have over 90% efficiency [72]. Biofertilizers also undergo natural decomposition, hence, there is no risk of their persistence in the environment and to human health [134]. In this context, rhizobacterial biofertilizers offer a promising, sustainable, and environmentally friendly approach to soil fertility and plant health [134], not only for Africa but the entire world at large.

### Constraints of formulation, commercialization, and practical application of rhizobacterial biofertilizers in Africa

Despite the benefits offered by biofertilizers as alternatives to synthetic fertilizers, their potential remains largely untapped in Africa [95, 135]. Here we identify

some of the reasons why their formulation, commercialization, and utilization in Africa are not developing as fast as in other parts of the world. Some of these constraints may, however, be global. Even though a large number of biofertilizers are known and patented for PGP in Africa, these are still not commercially available for utilization due to several reasons. Inadequacy of effective regulations in the region is recognized as the main hindrance to their adoption, thus many of these products have not been registered because of lengthy procedures and the high costs involved [136]. The regulation of formulated products and the formalities involved in the registration process by environmental protection agencies are often very stringent and the costs involved are also prohibitive. This is often unaffordable to industrialists trying to venture into their commercialization, thus, laboratory developments do not always end up as practical applications.

The commercialization of PGPR formulations to boost crop productivity is also greatly limited by the variability and inconsistency of laboratory, greenhouse, and field results [73, 137]. Soil is an unpredictable environment and the intended results are not often accomplished. Climatic variations also have a large impact on the effectiveness of PGPR [138]. Consequently, rhizobacteria which function optimally in laboratories may not produce similar results as field formulations [139]. This calls for innovative solutions.

Considering the distribution of inoculants to remote farms in less developed countries, it is obvious that inoculants cannot always be stored under ideal conditions but may be exposed to high temperatures, humidity, or light [140]. To increase acceptance by farmers, formulations should also be compatible with their conventional practices, be applicable with standard machinery, not associated with additional work steps, and be compatible with traditional techniques such as seed treatments [141]. The commercialization of non-sporulating bacterial inoculants is even more challenging due to their susceptibility to loss of viability during production, storage, and handling. This implies that sensitive bacterial strains, albeit displaying strong plant-beneficial aspects, hardly find their way into the market. Herein lies the challenge for formulation development and the prospect of utilizing highly potent bacterial strains.

Farmers are not always keen on alternative crop fertilization technologies in most developing countries [142]. The main reason for this skepticism relates to their variable efficacy in the field compared to conventional synthetic fertilizers [143]. Additionally, inoculants must overcome the loss of viability during storage and possess long shelf lives. Farmers are seeking products with repeated positive results, ease of handling, and reasonable prices. In this regard, the disadvantages associated

with rhizobacterial biofertilizers such as low shelf life, temperature-sensitive storage conditions, and bulkiness are the main reasons why the biofertilizer technology is not yet satisfactory and popular as alternative means of soil fertilization [144]. Some biofertilizers may require storage by refrigeration which is not ideal in Africa where temperatures are often high and power is costly [136]. Furthermore, there is low demand for this technology due to the lack of awareness and understanding [145].

Other challenges relate to the lack of proper distinction between potential biofertilizer agents and opportunistic human pathogens. There still is lack of clarity in distinguishing between biofertilizers and related opportunistic pathogens which promotes the lack of acceptance and challenges in convincing policymakers, environmental protection bodies, and other stakeholders about technology transfer and adoption [146].

### Prospects and future perspectives

Although there are great prospects for rhizobacterial biofertilizers for sustainable crop production and food security in Africa, the use of these products in the continent is still limited and grossly inadequate. Their production, distribution, and consumption require a lot of emphasis. Since their commercialization and utilization are greatly dependent on shelf life, there is need to improve this aspect during formulation [137]. The successful application of rhizobacterial biofertilizers in African agriculture will similarly require market stimulation through investments and strategies that can promote their understanding, access, and use [143]. Along with this, well-defined regulations and quality standards will also facilitate their registration [147].

Research to improve the agricultural application of biofertilizers is still in infancy in most SSA countries [148], and is largely derailed by the absence of supportive regulatory and policy frameworks [135, 149]. Proper regulation and quality control of biofertilizers can ensure their conformity to prescribed standards, product safety, and efficacy [150]. In the same context, effective quality control and regulations can ensure that rhizobacterial biofertilizers compete favorably with proven technologies to promote fair trade and market expansion [151].

Nitrogen fixation is widely studied for legume application in Africa. However, the focus should now shift to  $N_2$ -fixation in non-leguminous plants which are also important for food security [136]. Similarly, the biodiversity of  $N_2$ -fixing rhizobacteria other than *Rhizobia* should be investigated for applicability [99]. The efficacy of rhizobacterial fertilizers is known to vary widely from one geographical location to another [152], and without extensive research, it will be impossible to develop formulations that can cater for the spatial and temporal



differences across the African continent [153]. The consistency of biofertilizers can greatly be enhanced through the development of compatible cocktails or consortia of beneficial microbes for increased performance. Other approaches that can enhance the performance of rhizobacterial biofertilizers include genetic engineering of effective PGPR strains or the isolation and formulation of multi-trait PGPR strains. Through genetic engineering, the development and introduction of single bacteria with multiple PGP traits into plant rhizospheres can be achieved for increased performance.

Increased awareness of biofertilizers should be targeted through information dissemination to facilitate acceptance by African farmers [149]. Demonstrations and field trials can similarly enhance their acceptance as has been evidenced in Vietnam, for instance [154]. There is increasing evidence that biofertilizers can enhance plants' tolerance to abiotic environmental stresses [12] which is extremely important especially in the wake of climate change and prolonged droughts. However, many challenges remain to be overcome before they can fully and widely be accepted in Africa [72]. The integration of biofertilizers into agricultural practices is pegged on their economic and functional relevance relative to the conventional and contemporary practices [142]. In this regard, further research is needed to comprehend the environmental parameters that affect their efficacy and the selection of multi-trait PGP strains. It is also essential that the formulation of biofertilizers employs cheap raw materials like agricultural or industrial wastes to keep the costs of production low.

With intensive efforts in research and awareness creation, the credibility, acceptance, and utilization of rhizobacterial fertilizers will eventually increase [155]. Globally, the biofertilizer market is predicted to continue expanding due to the growing concerns over GHG emissions, increasing awareness, calls for sustainable agriculture, and rising consumer demands for organically produced foods [156]. With this, their formulation, commercialization, distribution, and utilization in Africa may similarly increase. Ultimately, the much-needed agricultural revolution may be witnessed both in Africa and globally through biofertilizers.

## Conclusions

The intensification of agricultural activities is increasing more than ever, and with even greater impacts on the environment. Africa and the rest of the world are faced with a double challenge of producing more food to ensure food security but without further environmental degradation. Rhizobacterial biofertilizers possess multifarious PGP functions that can be exploited and harnessed for eco-friendly and sustainable agricultural

systems worldwide. However, the use of these promising technology is still grossly inadequate in Africa, and a lot of emphasis is required on their production, promotion, commercialization, consumption, and distribution. As Africa's population continues to expand, and more and more food must be produced to meet the equally rising food demand, and these biofertilizers can be the critical link to sustainable agriculture and Africa's food security.

## Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

## Authors' contributions

BNA, ERM, and BAM participated in the conception of the study, literature review, and drafting of the manuscript. BAM, BNA, JBT were involved in manuscript preparation and substantially revised the final manuscript. JBT reviewed the manuscript during the first and second revisions and participated in the acquisition of funds towards the publication (article processing fees) of the manuscript. ERM and BAM were involved throughout the manuscript preparation and revision. All authors reviewed the final manuscript, approved its submission to *Agriculture & Food Security*, and agree to be personally accountable for questions relating to the accuracy and integrity of the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

The preparation of this chapter was supported with funds from the African-German Network of Excellence in Science (AGNES), the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (AvH). The funders had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

## Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

## Declarations

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

## Consent for publication

Not applicable.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, University of Eldoret, P.O. Box 1125-30100, Eldoret, Kenya. <sup>2</sup>Department of Sustainable Agriculture, Biodiversity and Ecosystems Management, Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology, P.O. Box 447, Arusha, Tanzania. <sup>3</sup>Department of Agricultural Production, College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Makerere University, P.O. Box, 7062, Kampala, Uganda. <sup>4</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Moi University, P.O. Box 931, Eldoret, Kenya.

Received: 15 March 2021 Accepted: 12 August 2021

Published online: 13 December 2021

## References

1. Ciceri D, Allanore A. Local fertilizers to achieve food self-sufficiency in Africa. *Sci Total Environ*. 2019;648:669–80.
2. Chauvin ND, Mulangu F, Porto G. Food production and consumption trends in sub-Saharan Africa: prospects for the transformation of the agricultural sector. Addis Ababa: United Nations Development Programme, Regional Bureau for Africa; 2012. p. 74. (United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) Working Paper). Report No.

- 2012–011. <http://www.africa.undp.org/content/rba/en/home/library/working-papers/food-production-consumption-trends.html>.
3. Kihara J, Sileshi GW, Nziguheba G, Kinyua M, Zingore S, Sommer R. Application of secondary nutrients and micronutrients increases crop yields in sub-Saharan Africa. *Agron Sustain Dev*. 2017;7:37:25.
  4. Vanlauwe B, Descheemaeker K, Giller KE, Huisling J, Merckx R, Nziguheba G, et al. Integrated soil fertility management in sub-Saharan Africa: unravelling local adaptation. *Soil*. 2015;1:491–508.
  5. Liu Y, Pan X, Li J. Current agricultural practices threaten future global food production. *J Agric Environ Ethics*. 2015;28:203–16.
  6. Jaiswal SK, Dakora FD. Widespread distribution of highly adapted *Bradyrhizobium* species nodulating diverse legumes in Africa. *Front Microbiol*. 2019;10:310.
  7. Sattar A, Naveed M, Ali M, Zahir ZA, Nadeem SM, Yaseen M, et al. Perspectives of potassium solubilizing microbes in sustainable food production system: a review. *Appl Soil Ecol*. 2019;133:146–59.
  8. Jha PN, Gupta G, Jha P, Mehrotra R. Association of rhizospheric/endophytic bacteria with plants: a potential gateway to sustainable agriculture. *Greener J Agric Sci*. 2013;3:73–84.
  9. Batista BD, Lacava PT, Ferrari A, Teixeira-Silva NS, Bonatelli ML, Tsui S, et al. Screening of tropically derived, multi-trait plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and evaluation of corn and soybean colonization ability. *Microbiol Res*. 2018;206:33–42.
  10. Dubey RK, Tripathi V, Pranha R, Chaurasia R, Singh DP, Rao CS, et al. Belowground microbial communities: key players for soil and environmental sustainability. In: Rama KD, Vishal T, Ratna P, Rajan C, Dhananjaya PS, Srinivasa R, Ali E, Purushothaman CA, editors, et al., *Unravelling the soil microbiome SpringerBriefs in environmental science*. Cham: Springer; 2020. p. 5–22.
  11. Kumar A, Patel JS, Meena VS. Rhizospheric microbes for sustainable agriculture: an overview. In: Meena V, editor. *Role of rhizospheric microbes in soil*. Singapore: Springer Nature; 2018. p. 1–31.
  12. Kumar A, Verma JP. Does plant-microbe interaction confer stress tolerance in plants: a review? *Microbiol Res*. 2018;207:41–52.
  13. Singh M, Singh D, Gupta AD, Pandey KD, Singh KP, Kumar A. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: application in biofertilizers and biocontrol of phytopathogens. In: Amit AK, Ajay K, Pawan KS, editors. *PGPR amelioration in sustainable agriculture*. Cambridge: Elsevier; 2019. p. 41–66.
  14. Suyal DC, Soni R, Sai S, Goel R. Microbial inoculants as biofertiliser. In: Singh D, Prabha R, editors. *Microbial inoculants in sustainable agricultural productivity*. New Delhi: Springer; 2016. p. 311–8.
  15. Aloo BN, Makumba BA, Mbega ER. Plant growth promoting rhizobacterial biofertilizers for sustainable crop production: the past, present, and future. Preprints. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202009.0650.v1>.
  16. Adesemoye AO, Torbert H, Kloepper JW. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria allow reduced application rates of chemical fertilizers. *Microb Ecol*. 2009;58(4):921–9.
  17. Tairo EV, Ndakidemi PA. Micronutrients uptake in soybean (*Glycine max* L.) as affected by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* inoculation and phosphorus (P) supplements. *World J Soil Crop Sci Res*. 2014;1(1):1–9.
  18. Korir H, Mungai NW, Thuita M, Hamba Y, Masso C. Co-inoculation effect of rhizobia and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on common bean growth in a low phosphorus soil. *Front Plant Sci*. 2017;7(8):141.
  19. Massawe PI, Mtei KM, Munishi LK, Ndakidemi PA. Effects of *Rhizobium* inoculation and cropping systems on macronutrients uptake and partitioning in two legumes (Common bean and Lablab). *Indian J Agric Res*. 2017;51(3):206–13.
  20. Bambara S, Ndakidemi PA. *Phaseolus vulgaris* response to *Rhizobium* inoculation, Lime and Molybdenum in selected low pH soil in Western Cape, South Africa. *Afr J Agric Res*. 2010;5:1804–11.
  21. Koskey G, Mburu SW, Njeru EM, Kimiti JM, Ombori O, Maingi JM. Potential of native rhizobia in enhancing nitrogen fixation and yields of climbing beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) in contrasting environments of Eastern Kenya. *Front Plant Sci*. 2017;8:443.
  22. Masso C, Mukhongo RW, Thuita M, Abaidoo RC, Ulzen J, Kariuki G, et al. Biological inoculants for sustainable intensification of agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa smallholder farming systems. In: Lai R, Kraybill D, Hansen D, Singh B, Mosogoya T, Eik L, editors, et al., *Climate change and multi-dimensional sustainability in African agriculture*. Cham: Springer; 2016. p. 639–58.
  23. Wolde EM, van Heerwaarden J, Abdulkadir B, Kassa S, Aliyi I, Degefu T, et al. Additive yield response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to *Rhizobium* inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer across smallholder farms in Ethiopia. *Agric Ecosyst Environ*. 2018;261:144–52.
  24. Ndungu SM, Messmer MM, Ziegler D, Gampfer HA, Mészáros E, Thuita M, et al. Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) hosts several widespread *Bradyrhizobial* root nodule symbionts across contrasting agro-ecological production areas in Kenya. *Agric Ecosyst Environ*. 2018;261:161–71.
  25. Ulzen J, Abaidoo RC, Ewusi-Mensah N, Masso C. On-farm evaluation and determination of sources of variability of soybean response to *Bradyrhizobium* inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer in Northern Ghana. *Agric Ecosyst Environ*. 2018;267:23–32.
  26. El-Nagdy GA, Dalia MAN, Eman AE, Gelan SAEL. Response of flax plant (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) to treatments with mineral and bio-fertilizers from nitrogen and phosphorus. *J Am Sci*. 2010;6(10):207–17.
  27. Naseriad H, Soleymanifrad A, Naseri R. Effect of integrated application of biofertilizers on grain yield, yield components and associated traits of maize cultivars. *Am-Eurasian J Agric Environ Sci*. 2011;10(2):271–7.
  28. Breedt G, Labuschagne N, Coutinho T. Seed treatment with selected plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria increases maize yield in the field. *Ann Appl Biol*. 2017;171:229–36.
  29. Babalola OO, Berner DK, Amusa NA. Evaluation of some bacterial isolates as germination stimulants of *Striga hermonthica*. *Afr J Agric Res*. 2007;2:27–30.
  30. Raji SG, Tzanakakis V, Dorsch P. *Bradyrhizobial* inoculation and P application effects on haricot and mung beans in the Ethiopian Rift Valley. *Plant Soil*. 2019;442:1–14.
  31. Adesemoye AO, Ugoji EO. Evaluating *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria in West Africa. *Arch Phytopathol Plant Prot*. 2009;42(2):188–200.
  32. Shaheen AM, Fatma AR, Sawan MO, Ghoname AA. The integrated use of bio-inoculants and chemical nitrogen fertilizer on growth, yield and nutritive value of two Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) cultivars. *Aust J Basic Appl Sci*. 2007;1:307–12.
  33. Aloo BN, Mbega ER, Makumba BA, Hertel R, Danel R. Molecular identification and in vitro plant growth-promoting activities of culturable potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) rhizobacteria in Tanzania. *Potato Res*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11540-020-09465-x>.
  34. Diniz MA, Teixeira G, Carrapico F. Azolla as a biofertiliser in Africa. A challenge for the future. *Rev Ciênc Agrár*. 2015;23(3):120–38.
  35. Ronner E, Franke A, Vanlauwe B, Dianda M, Edeh E, Ukem B, et al. Understanding variability in soybean yield and response to P-fertilizer and *Rhizobium* inoculants on farmers' fields in northern Nigeria. *Field Crops Res*. 2016;186:133–45.
  36. Woomer PL, Huisling J, Giller KE. N2Africa final report of the first phase 2009–2013, 2014. p. 138. Report No. 138. [www.N2Africa.org](http://www.N2Africa.org).
  37. Majengo CO, Okalebo JR, Etich WNG, Mburu MW, Mutua S, Mutegi E, et al. Effectiveness of promising commercial bio-fertilizers on soybean production in Bungoma county, Western Kenya. In: Joint proceedings of the 27th soil science society of East Africa and the 6th African Soil Science Society. 2013. p. 827–30. <http://www.kalro.org/ssa27proceedings/theme5/Effectiveness%20of%20promising%20commercial%20bio-fertilizers.pdf>. Accessed 25 May 2020.
  38. Mburu MW. Performance of soybeans inoculated with a range of commercial microbial products under different soils of Bungoma County, Western Kenya [Thesis]. Eldoret: Moi University; 2012.
  39. Nyoki D, Ndakidemi PA. Selected chemical properties of soybean rhizosphere soil as influenced by cropping systems, *Rhizobium* inoculation, and the supply of phosphorus and potassium after two consecutive cropping seasons. *Int J Agron*. 2018;2018:3426571.
  40. van Heerwaarden J, Baijukya F, Kyei-Boahen S, Adjei-Nsiah S, Ebanyat P, Kamai N, et al. Soybean response to *Rhizobium* inoculation across sub-Saharan Africa: patterns of variation and the role of promiscuity. *Agric Ecosyst Environ*. 2018;261:211–8.
  41. Zaki M, Abdhfez AAM, El-Dewiny CY. Influence of applying phosphate biofertilizers and different levels of phosphorus sources on the productivity, quality and chemical composition of sweet fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). *Aust J Basic Appl Sci*. 2010;4(2):334–47.

42. Oluwambe TM, Kofoworola AA. Comparison of single culture and the consortium of growth-promoting rhizobacteria from three tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) varieties. *Adv Plants Agric Res*. 2016;5(1):448–55.
43. Sanchez PA. Ecology: soil fertility and hunger in Africa. *Science*. 2002;295(5562):2019–20.
44. Chianu J, Chianu J, Mairura F. Mineral fertilizers in the farming systems of sub-Saharan Africa. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev*. 2012;32(2):545–56.
45. Bekunda M, Sangina N, Woormer PL. Restoring soil fertility in sub-Saharan Africa. *Adv Agron*. 2010;108:183–286.
46. Keino L, Bajjukya F, Ngetich W, Otinga AN, Okalebo JR, Njoroge R, et al. Nutrients limiting soybean (*Glycine max* L.) growth in acrisols and ferri-sols of Western Kenya. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10(12):1–20.
47. Deckers J. Soil fertility and environmental problems in different ecological zones of the developing countries in sub-Saharan Africa. In: van Reular H, Prins WH, editors. *The role of plant nutrients for sustainable food production in sub-Saharan Africa*. Wageningen: Posen & Looijen; 1993. p. 37–52.
48. Bationo A, Hartemink A, Lungu O, Naimi M, Okoth P, Smaling E, et al. Nutrient soils: their productivity and profitability of fertilizer use. In: Kihara J, Fatondji D, Jones JW, Hoogenboom G, Tabo R, Bationo A, editors, et al., *Improving soil fertility recommendation in Africa using decision support system for agrotechnology transfer*. New York: Springer; 2012. p. 19–42.
49. Henao J, Baanante C. *Agricultural production and soil nutrient mining in Africa: implications for resource conservation and policy development*. Muscle Shoals, Alabama, USA: International Center for Soil Fertility and Agricultural Development; 2006. p. 13. [https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/bitstream/handle/10919/68832/4566\\_Henao2006\\_Ag\\_production\\_nutrient\\_mining\\_.pdf?sequence=1](https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/bitstream/handle/10919/68832/4566_Henao2006_Ag_production_nutrient_mining_.pdf?sequence=1). Accessed 13 Apr 2021.
50. Hauser S, Nolte C, Carsky RJ. What role can planted fallows play in the humid and sub-humid zone of West and Central Africa. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst*. 2006;76:297–318.
51. Böhmann C, Beukes D, Turner D. Plant nutrient status of soils of the Lusikisiki area, Eastern Cape Province. *S Afr J Plant Soil*. 2006;23(2):93–8.
52. Kiboi MN, Ngetich FK, Mugendi D. Nitrogen budgets and flows in African smallholder farming systems. *AIMS Agric Food*. 2019;4(2):429–46.
53. Masso C, Bajjukya F, Ebanyat P, Bouaziz S, Wendt J, Bekunda M, et al. Dilemma of nitrogen management for future food security in sub-Saharan Africa—a review. *Soil Res*. 2017;55:425–34.
54. Lederer J, Karungi J, Ogwang F. The potential of wastes to improve nutrient levels in agricultural soils: a material flow analysis case study from Busia District, Uganda. *Agric Ecosyst Environ*. 2015;207:26–39.
55. Ugboh O, Ulebor JU. Application of integrated soil fertility approach in the improvement of soil fertility in semi-arid ecology. *J Agric Soc Res*. 2011;11(2):81–6.
56. Li Y, Han MQ, Lin F, Ten Y, Lin Y, Zhu MQ, et al. Soil chemical properties, 'Guanximiyou' pummelo leaf mineral nutrient status and fruit quality in the southern region of Fujian province, China. *J Soil Sci Plant Nutr*. 2015;15(3):615–28.
57. Tiltonell P, Shepherd KD, Vanlauwe B, Giller KE. Unravelling the effects of soil and crop management on maize productivity in smallholder agricultural systems of western Kenya—an application of classification and regression tree analysis. *Agric Ecosyst Environ*. 2008;123(1–3):137–50.
58. de Valenca AW, Bake A. Micronutrient management for improving harvests, human nutrition, and the environment. *The Netherlands: Wageningen University and Research*; 2016. p. 22. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/963b/e834f0743e41f0a1c12071c4617b0461b282.pdf>.
59. Toenniessen G, Adesina A, De Vries J. Building an alliance for a green revolution in Africa. *Ann NY Acad Sci*. 2008;1136(1):233–42.
60. Kumssa DB, Joy EJM, Ander EL, Watts MJ, Young SD, Walker S, et al. Dietary calcium and zinc deficiency risks are decreasing but remain prevalent. *Sci Rep*. 2015;5:10974.
61. FAO. *Africa regional overview of food security and nutrition. The challenges of building resilience to shocks and stresses*. Accra: Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations; 2017. <https://reliefweb.int/report/world/regional-overview-food-security-and-nutrition-africa-challenges-building-resilience>. Accessed 14 July 2021.
62. Sanginga N, Woormer PL. *Integrated soil fertility management in Africa: principles, practices and developmental process*. Nairobi: Tropical Soil Biology and Fertility Institute of the International Centre for Tropical Agriculture; 2009. p. 263.
63. FAO. *Scaling soil nutrient balances. Enabling mesolevel applications for African realities*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations; 2004. (FAO Fertilizer and Plant Nutrition Bulletin). Report No. 15. [https://books.google.co.ke/books?printsec=frontcover&vid=ISBN9251052379&redir\\_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false](https://books.google.co.ke/books?printsec=frontcover&vid=ISBN9251052379&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q&f=false). Accessed 13 June 2021.
64. Graham PH, Vance CP. Nitrogen fixation in perspective, an overview of research and extension needs. *Field Crops Res*. 2000;65:93–106.
65. FAO. FAOSTAT database. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; 2016. <http://faostat.fao.org/>. Accessed 20 Mar 2020.
66. Roy RN, Finck A, Blair BJ, Tandon HLS. *Plant nutrition for food security: a guide for integrated nutrient management*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations; 2006. <http://www.fao.org/3/a-04443e.pdf>. Accessed 20 Mar 2020.
67. Oufdou K, Bechtaoui N, El Alaoui A, Benidire L, Daoui K, Gottfert M. Symbiotic rhizobacteria for improving of the agronomic effectiveness of phosphate fertilizers. *Procedia Eng*. 2016;138:325–31.
68. Dash NP, Kumar A, Kaushik MS, Abraham G, Singh PK. Nitrogenous agrochemicals inhibiting native diazotrophic cyanobacterial contribution in wetland rice ecosystem. *J Appl Ecol*. 2017;29(2):929–39.
69. Youssef MMA, Eissa MFM. Biofertilizers and their role in management of plant parasitic nematodes. A review. *J Biotechnol Pharm Res*. 2014;5:1–6.
70. Yu X, Guo L, Jiang G, Song Y, Muminov MA. Advances of organic products over conventional productions with respect to nutritional quality and food security. *Acta Ecol Sin*. 2018;38(1):53–60.
71. Ichami SM, Shepherd KD, Sila AM, Stoorvogel JJ, Hoffland E. Fertilizer response and nitrogen use efficiency in African smallholder maize farms. *Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst*. 2019;113(1):1–19.
72. Le Mire G, Nguyen ML, Fassotte B, du Jardin P, Verheggen F, Delaplace P, et al. Implementing plant biostimulants and biocontrol strategies in the agroecological management of cultivated ecosystems. A review. *Biotechnol Agron Soc Environ*. 2016;20(1):299–313.
73. Cho R. Phosphorus: essential to life—are we running out? Columbia: Earth Institute, Columbia University; 2013. (State of the Planet). <http://blogs.ei.columbia.edu/2013/04/01/phosphorus-essential-to-life-are-we-running-out/>.
74. Rengel Z. Availability of Mn, Zn and Fe in the rhizosphere. *J Soil Sci Plant Nutr*. 2015;15(2):397–409.
75. Di Benedetto NA, Corbo MR, Campaniello D, Cataldi MP, Bevilacqua A, Sinigaglia M, et al. The role of plant growth promoting bacteria in improving nitrogen use efficiency for sustainable crop production: a focus on wheat. *AIMS Microbiol*. 2017;3(3):413–34.
76. Ramaswamy V, Boucher O, Haigh J, Hauglustaine D, Haywood J, Myhre G, et al. *Radiative forcing of climate change*. Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press; 2001. p. 349–416.
77. Campbell BM, Hansen J, Rioux J, Stirling CM, Twomlow S, Wollenberg EL. Urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts (SDG 13): transforming agriculture and food systems. *Curr Opin Environ Sustain*. 2018;34:13–20.
78. ten Berge HFM, Hijbeek R, van Loon MP, Rurinda J, Tesfaye Z, Kigore S, et al. Maize crop nutrient input requirements for food security in sub-Saharan Africa. *Glob Food Secur*. 2019;23:9–21.
79. Puri A, Padda KP, Chanway CP. Nitrogen-fixation by endophytic bacteria in agricultural crops: recent advances. In: Amanullah K, Fahad S, editors. *Nitrogen in agriculture—updates*. Rijeka: IntechOpen; 2017. p. 73–94.
80. Erisman JW, Galloway JN, Seitzinger S, Bleeker A, Dise NB, Petrescu AMR, et al. Consequences of human modification of the global nitrogen cycle. *Philos Trans R Soc Biol Sci*. 2013;368:20130116.
81. Raimi A, Adeleke R, Roopnarain A. Soil fertility challenges and biofertiliser as a viable alternative for increasing smallholder farmer crop productivity in sub-Saharan Africa. *Cogent Food Agric*. 2017;3(1):1400933.
82. Saritha M, Prasad TNV. The status of research and application of biofertilizers and biopesticides: global scenario. In: Viswanath B, editor. *Recent developments in applied microbiology and biochemistry*. London: Elsevier; 2019. p. 195–207.
83. Hernandez MA, Torero M. Market concentration and pricing behavior in the fertilizer industry: a global approach. *Agric Econ*. 2013;44:723–34.

84. Guo Z, Koo J, Wood S. Fertilizer profitability in East Africa: a spatially explicit policy analysis. In: International Association of Agricultural Economists (IAAE) Conference; Beijing, China. 2009. <http://purl.umn.edu/51710>.
85. Bekunda M, Nkonya E, Mugendi D, Msaky J. Soil fertility status, management, and research in East Africa. *East Afr J Rural Dev*. 2002;20(1):1–23.
86. López-Bellido L, Muñoz-Romero V, López-Bellido RG. Nitrate accumulation in the soil profile: long-term effects of tillage, rotation and N rate in a mediterranean vertisol. *Soil Tillage Res*. 2013;130:18–23.
87. Cordell D, Drangert JO, White S. The story of phosphorus: global food security and food for thought. *Glob Environ Change*. 2009;19(2):292–305.
88. Craswell ET, Vlek PL, Tiessen H, Gilkes RJ. Peak phosphorus—implications for soil productivity and global food security. In: 19th world congress of soil science: soil solutions for a changing world. 2010. p. 1–6.
89. Jacoby R, Peukert M, Succurro A, Koprivova A, Kopriva S. The role of soil microorganisms in plant mineral nutrition—current knowledge and future directions. *Front Plant Sci*. 2017;8:1–19.
90. Dighe NS, Shukla D, Kalkotwar RS, Laware RB, Bhawar SB, Gaikwad RW. Nitrogenase enzyme: a review. *J Pharm Sci*. 2010;1(2):77–84.
91. Thamer S, Schädler M, Bonte D, Ballhorn DJ. Dual benefit from a belowground symbiosis: nitrogen fixing rhizobia promote growth and defense against a specialist herbivore in a cyanogenic plant. *Plant Soil*. 2011;34(1–2):209–19.
92. Defez R, Anna Andreozzi A, Bianco C. The overproduction of Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) in endophytes upregulates nitrogen fixation in both bacterial cultures and inoculated rice plants. *Microb Ecol*. 2017;74(2):441–52.
93. Sprent JI, Ardley J, James EK. Biogeography of nodulated legumes and their nitrogen-fixing symbionts. *New Phytol*. 2017;215:40–56.
94. Hassen AI, Bopape FL, Sanger LK. Microbial inoculants as agents of growth promotion and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. In: Singh D, Singh H, Prabha R, editors. *Microbial inoculants in sustainable agricultural productivity*. New Delhi: Springer; 2016. p. 23–36.
95. Chianu J, Nkonya E, Mairura F, Chianu JN, Akinnifesi F. Biological nitrogen fixation and socioeconomic factors for legume production in sub-Saharan Africa: a review. *Agron Sustain Dev*. 2011;2:309–29.
96. Giller KE, Tittonell P, Rufino MC, van Wijk MT, Zingore S, Mapfumo P, et al. Communicating complexity: integrated assessment of trade-offs concerning soil fertility management within African farming systems to support innovation and development. *Agric Syst*. 2011;104(2):191–203.
97. Ngetich FK, Shisanya CA, Mugwe J, Mugendi D. The potential of organic and inorganic nutrient sources in sub-Saharan African crop farming systems. In: Whalen JK, editor. *Soil fertility improvement and integrated nutrient management—a global perspective*. Rijeka: IntechOpen; 2012. p. 35–156.
98. Gyaneshwar P, Hirsch AM, Moulin L, Chen WM, Elliott GN, Bontemps C, et al. Legume-nodulating betaproteobacteria: diversity, host range, and future prospects. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact*. 2011;24:1276–88.
99. Santi C, Bogusz D, Franche C. Biological nitrogen fixation in non-legume plants. *Ann Bot*. 2013;111:743–67.
100. Aloo BN, Mbega ER, Makumba BA. Rhizobacteria-based technology for sustainable cropping of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.). *Potato Res*. 2019;63:1–21.
101. Mapfumo P. Comparative analysis of the current and potential role of legumes in integrated soil fertility management in Southern Africa. In: Bationo A, Waswa B, Okeyo J, Maina F, Kihara J, Mokwunye U, editors. *Fighting poverty in sub-Saharan Africa: the multiple roles of legumes in integrated soil fertility management*. Dordrecht: Springer; 2011. p. 175–200.
102. Arora NK, Kumar V, Maheshwari DK. Constraints, development and future of the inoculants with special reference to rhizobial inoculants. In: Maheshwari DK, Dubey RC, editors. *Innovative approaches in microbiology*. Dehradun: Singh and Singh; 2001. p. 241–5.
103. Grönmeyer JL, Reinhold-Hurek B. Diversity of bradyrhizobia in Sub-Saharan Africa: a rich resource. *Front Microbiol*. 2018;9:2194.
104. Choudhary M, Ghasal P, Yadav R, Meena V, Mondal T, Bisht J. Towards plant-beneficiary rhizobacteria and agricultural sustainability. In: Meena VS, editor. *Role of rhizospheric microbes in soil: volume 2: nutrient management and crop improvement*. Singapore: Springer Nature; 2018. p. 1–46.
105. Mohammadi K, Sohrabi Y. Bacterial biofertilizers for sustainable crop production: a review. *ARN J Agric Biol Sci*. 2012;7(5):307–16.
106. Aloo BN, Makumba BA, Mbega ER. Phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria: diversity, mechanisms, and prospects in sustainable agriculture. Preprints. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202009.0681.v1>.
107. Gouda S, Kerry RG, Das G, Paramithiotis S, Patra JK. Revitalization of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for sustainable development in agriculture. *Microbiol Res*. 2018;206:131–40.
108. Khan MS, Zaidi A, Ahemad M, Oves M, Wani PA. Plant growth promotion by phosphate solubilizing fungi—current perspective. *Arch Agron Soil Sci*. 2010;56:73–98.
109. Sangeeth K, Bhai RS, Srinivasan V. Paenibacillus glucanolyticus, a promising potassium solubilizing bacterium isolated from black pepper (*Piper nigrum* L.) rhizosphere. *J Spices Aromat Crops*. 2012;21(2):118–24.
110. Yasin M, Munir I, Faisal M. Can *Bacillus* spp. enhance K<sup>+</sup> uptake in crop species? In: Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Meena RS, editors. *Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture*. New Delhi: Springer; 2016. p. 163–70.
111. Mishra DJ, Mishra UK, Shahi SK. Role of bio-fertilizer inorganic agriculture: a review. *Res J Recent Sci*. 2013;2:39–41.
112. Hussain A, Arshad M, Zahir ZA, Asghar M. Prospects of zinc solubilizing bacteria for enhancing growth of maize. *Pak J Agric Sci*. 2015;52(4):915–22.
113. Ghavami N, Alikhani HA, Pourbabei AA, Besharati H. Effects of two new siderophore-producing rhizobacteria on growth and iron content of maize and canola plants. *J Plant Nutr*. 2017;40:736–46.
114. Lu G, Goneva V, Casaretto JA, Ying S, Mahmood K, Liu F, et al. OsPIN5b modulates rice (*Oryza sativa*) plant architecture and yield by changing auxin-homeostasis, transport and distribution. *Plant J*. 2015;83(5):913–25.
115. Pramanik K, Das SP, Acharya LK, Jayapuria D. Role of gibberellic acid on growth, yield and quality of tomato: a review. *Int J Chem Stud*. 2017;5(6):826–30.
116. Aloo BN, Makumba BA, Mbega ER. The potential of Bacilli rhizobacteria for sustainable crop production and environmental sustainability. *Microbiol Res*. 2019;219:26–39.
117. Mpeperek S, Javaheri F, Davis P, Giller KE. Soybeans and sustainable agriculture. Promiscuous soybeans in Southern Africa. *Field Crops Res*. 2000;65:137–49.
118. Adesemoye AO, Egamberdiyeva D. Beneficial effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on improved crop production: prospects for developing economies. In: Maheshwari DK, Saraf M, Aeron A, editors. *Bacteria in agrobiology: crop productivity*. Berlin: Springer; 2013. p. 45–63.
119. Ugoji E, Laing M, Hunter C. An investigation of the shelf-life (storage) of Bacillus isolates on seeds. *South Afr J Bot*. 2006;72(1):28–33.
120. Galal YGM. Assessment of nitrogen availability to wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) from inorganic and organic N sources as affected by *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Rhizobium leguminosarum* inoculation. *Egypt J Microbiol*. 2003;38:57–73.
121. Jida M, Assefa F. Phenotypic and plant growth promoting characteristics of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. viciae from lentil growing areas of Ethiopia. *Afr J Microbiol Res*. 2011;5(24):4133–42.
122. Naveed M, Mubeen S, Khan SU, Ahmed I, Khalid N, Suleria HAR. Identification and characterization of rhizospheric microbial diversity by 16s rRNA gene sequencing. *Braz J Microbiol*. 2014;45(3):985–93.
123. Rodrigues EP, Rodrigues LS, de Oliveira ALM, Baldani VLD, Dos Santos TKR, Urquiaga S, et al. *Azospirillum amazonense* inoculation: effects on growth, yield and N<sub>2</sub> fixation of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Soil*. 2008;302(1):249–61.
124. Parmar P, Sindhu SS. Potassium solubilization by rhizosphere bacteria: influence of nutritional and environmental conditions. *J Microbiol Res*. 2013;31(1):25–31.
125. Raimi A. Quality assessment of commercial biofertilisers and the awareness of smallholder farmers in Gauteng province, South Africa [Thesis]. University of South Africa; 2018. [http://uir.unisa.ac.za/bitstream/handle/10500/24955/dissertation\\_raimi\\_a.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y](http://uir.unisa.ac.za/bitstream/handle/10500/24955/dissertation_raimi_a.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y).
126. Ahemad M, Khan M. Influence of selective herbicides on plant growth-promoting traits of phosphate solubilising *Enterobacter asburiae* strain PS2. *Res J Microbiol*. 2010;5(9):849–57.

127. Grady EN, MacDonald J, Liu L, Richman A, Yuan ZC. Current knowledge and perspectives of Paenibacillus: a review. *Microb Cell Factories*. 2016;15(1):203.
128. Martínez-Romero E. Coevolution in *Rhizobium*-legume symbiosis? *DNA Cell Biol*. 2009;28(8):361–70.
129. Dhir B. Biofertilizers and biopesticides: eco-friendly biological agents. In: Kumar R, Sharma AK, Ahluwalia SS, editors. *Advances in environmental biotechnology*. Singapore: Springer; 2017. p. 167–88.
130. N2Africa. N2Africa revitalizes legume production in Nigeria. 2015. (IITA REsearch to Noursih Africa). <http://www.iita.org/news-item/n2africa-revitalizes-legumeproduction-nigeria/>. Accessed 12 Mar 2020.
131. BrahmaPrakash GP, Pramood KS. Biofertilizers for sustainability. *J Indian Soc Sci*. 2012;92:0970–4140.
132. Sharma S, Kulkarni J, Jha B. Halotolerant rhizobacteria promote growth and enhance salinity tolerance in peanut. *Front Microbiol*. 2016;7:1600.
133. Malik AA, Sanghmitra S, Javed A. Chemical vs. organic cultivation of medicinal and aromatic plants: the choice is clear. *Int J Med Aromat Plants*. 2011;1(1):5–13.
134. Kaur H, Kaur J, Gera R. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: a boon to agriculture. *Int J Cell Sci Biotechnol*. 2016;5:17–22.
135. Simiyu NSW, Tarus D, Watiti J, Nang'ayo F. Effective regulation of bio-fertilizers and biopesticides: a potential avenue to increase agricultural productivity. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture; 2013. (COMPRO II). Report No. 1. <https://biblio1.iita.org/bitstream/handle/20.500.12478/1741/U13SerSimiyuComproNothomNodev.pdf-4d98061e51f069184944666639f5e442.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>. Accessed 13 July 2021.
136. Masso C, Ochieng JRA, Vanlauwe B. Worldwide contrast in application of bio-fertilizers for sustainable agriculture: lessons for sub-Saharan Africa. *J Biol Agric Healthc*. 2015;5(12):34–48.
137. Shaikh S, Sayyed RZ. Role of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and their formulation in biocontrol of plant diseases. In: Arora NK, editor. *Plant microbes symbiosis: applied facets*. New Delhi: Springer; 2015. p. 37–351.
138. Zaidi A, Khan MS, Ahemad M, Oves M. Plant growth promotion by phosphate solubilizing bacteria. *Acta Microbiol Immunol*. 2009;56:263–84.
139. Nehra V, Choudhary M. A review on plant growth promoting rhizobacteria acting as bioinoculants and their biological approach towards the production of sustainable agriculture. *J Appl Nat Sci*. 2015;7(1):540–56.
140. Herrmann L, Lesueur D. Challenges of formulation and quality of biofertilizers for successful inoculation. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2013;97:8859–73.
141. Catroux G, Hartmann A, Revellin C. Trends in rhizobial inoculant production and use. *Plant Soil*. 2001;230:21–30.
142. Bashan Y, de Bashan LE, Prabhu SR, Hernandez JP. Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology: formulations and practical perspectives (1998–2013). (A Marschner review). *Plant Soil*. 2014;378:1–33.
143. Arora NK, Maheshwari DK, Khare E. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: constraints in bioformulation, commercialization and future strategies. In: Maheshwari DK, editor. *Bacteria and plant health*. Berlin: Springer; 2010. p. 97–116.
144. Verma VC, Singh SK, Prakash S. Bio-control and plant growth promotion potential of siderophore producing endophytic *Streptomyces* from *Azadirachta indica*. *A Juss J Basic Microbiol*. 2011;51:550–6.
145. Schulte-Geldermann E. Tackling low potato yields in Eastern Africa: an overview of constraints and potential strategies. In: Woldegiorgis G, Schulz S, Berihun B, editors. *Seed potato tuber production and dissemination, experiences, challenges and prospects*. Bahir Dar: Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR), Amhara Regional Agricultural Research Institute (ARARI), International Potato Center; 2013. p. 72–80.
146. Nakkreen S, Fernando DWG, Siddiqui ZA. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria formulations and its scope in commercialization for the management of pests and diseases. In: Siddiqui ZA, editor. *Biocontrol and biofertilization*. Dordrecht: Springer; 2005. p. 257–96.
147. Babalola OO, Glick BR. The use of microbial inoculants in African agriculture: current practice and future prospects. *J Food Agric Environ*. 2012;10(3–4):540–9.
148. Njira KOW. Microbial contributions in alleviating decline in soil fertility. *Br Microbiol Res J*. 2013;3(4):724–42.
149. Ochieng JRA. Towards a regulatory framework for increased and sustainable use of bio-fertilizers in Kenya [Thesis]. Nairobi: University of Nairobi; 2015.
150. Banayo NPM, Cruz PCS, Aguilar EA, Badayos RB, Haeefe SM. Evaluation of biofertilizers in irrigated rice: effects on grain yield at different fertilizer rates. *Agriculture*. 2012;2:73–86.
151. Harman GE, Obregon MA, Samuels GJ, Lorrito M. Changing models for commercialization and implementation of biocontrol in the developing and developed world. *Plant Dis*. 2010;94(8):928–39.
152. Jaiswal SK, Msimbira LA, Dakora FD. Phylogenetically diverse group of native bacterial symbionts isolated from root nodules of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) in South Africa. *Syst Appl Microbiol*. 2017;40:215–26.
153. Uribe D, Sanchez-Nieves J, Vanegas J. Role of microbial bio-fertilizers in the development of a sustainable agriculture in the tropics. In: Dion P, editor. *Soil biology and agriculture in the tropics*. Berlin: Springer; 2010. p. 235–50.
154. Cong PT, Dung TD, Nguyen TH, Choudhary A, Rose MT, Kecskes M, et al. Effects of a multistrain biofertilizer and phosphorus rates on nutrition and grain yield of paddy rice on a sandy soil in southern Vietnam. *J Plant Nutr*. 2011;34(7):1058–69.
155. Wezel A. Agroecological practices for sustainable agriculture. A review. *Agron Sustain Dev*. 2014;34(1):1–20.
156. Shubha K, Mukherjee A, Kumari M, Tiwari KN, Meena VS. Bio-stimulants: an approach towards the sustainable vegetable production. In: Meena V, Mishra P, Bisht J, Pattanayak A, editors. *Agriculturally important microbes for sustainable agriculture*. Singapore: Springer; 2017. p. 259–77.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

