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ABSTRACT

This research evaluated larvicidal potencies and phytochemical analysis of *Hypoestes forskaolii* (vahl) R. Br root extracts. Larvicidal activities were tested to *Anopheles gambiae*, *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. World Health Organization protocol for evaluating and testing insecticides was adopted with minor modification. Larvae of these mosquitoes were allowed to interact with extracts prepared in different concentration ranging between 25 to 750 μg/mL and the death rate were noted subsequent to 24 h, 36 h and 72 h. Root extracts of *H. forskaolii* displayed its effectiveness towards larva’s of *A. gambiae*, *A. aegypti* and *C. quinquefasciatus* 3rd instars having LC$_{50}$ between 3.8989 to 220.4789 μg/mL for *A. aegypti*, LC$_{50}$ of 2.0322 to 69.6596 μg/mL for *A. gambiae* and LC$_{50}$ of 6.0004 to 177.5595 μg/mL for *C. quinquefasciatus*. The chloroform extract results indicated high mortality of larvae subsequent to 72 h of contact for the three species of mosquitoes tested. *Anopheles gambiae* had the LC$_{50}$ of 2.0322 μg/mL where by *A. aegypti* had LC50 of 3.8989 μg/mL while *C. quinquefasciatus* showed LC50 of 6.0004 μg/mL. Analysis of the organic compounds found in *Hypoestes forskaolii* chloroform extract was performed using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry technique (GC-MS). Twenty three compounds were identified namely; piperonal, caryophyllene, caryophyllene oxide, β-humulene, α-farnesene, Nerolidol, patchoulane, γ-cadinene, viridiflorol, n-hexadecanoic acid, octadecanoic acid, bicyclo [5.2.0] nonane, 2-methylene-4,8,8-trimethyl-4vinyl, Kaurene, 9,12-octadecadienoic acid Z, Z, eicosane, allo- aromadendrene oxide, Epiglobulol, Longipinane, curcumene, Globulol, Calamenene, α-cedrene and Copaene. The larvicidal activity of *Hypoestes forskaolii* extracts is likely due to the presence of one or some of these compounds. Further study is needed to establish the compound(s) responsible for the displayed larvicidal potencies.
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CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the problem

Mosquitoes among other insects have been demonstrating their effectiveness in transmitting many tropical diseases including deadly viral disease. The total of 3000 species of mosquitoes has been identified and classified from every part of the world, but 100 species have been reported to be vectors for human diseases (Abou-Enaga, 2014). Among many groups of Arthropods mosquitoes are the most identified active species in transmitting diseases and hence it is recognized as the insect with the first interest as public enemy (Makirita et al., 2015; Ghosh et al., 2012). Mosquitoes transmit Malaria, Dengue fever, Chikungunya, Rift valley fever, Filariasis, West Nile fever and Encephalitis (Hemalatha et al., 2015; Dua et al., 2010). Around 700 million tropical inhabitants are contaminated with mosquito transmitted diseases yearly and hence social economic setbacks, poverty and death (Mavundza et al., 2014). Synthetic chemical pesticides are common in management of mosquitoes in the communities but improper use have established serious problems such as development of genetical mutations, high cost of pesticide application and difficulties from handling as well as environmental degradation (Adyemii, 2010; Lee, 2000). Plants have been used in the management of destructive insects in our life history. These medicinal plants are also known to be novel; less cost and effective method of controlling vectors but the potencies for most of these plants have not been investigated in detail and become certified for scientific validation (Kilonzo et al., 2017). The botanical insecticides are specific to pest in control, risk free to organisms which are not in target but they are also biodegradable to the environment (Erturk et al., 2004; Kabaru et al., 2001). They are also biodegradable and harmless to the environment (Khater, 2012; Nikoletta et al., 2011). Conventional insecticides possesses usually one active compound but biopesticides have more than one phytochemical compounds that affects behaviors and other daily routines of the particular organisms (Parera et al., 2017; Rehman et al., 2009). Possibility for botanical pesticide to build up resistance towards insects is very low (Saxena, 1987). Various botanical extracts have also been referred to hold back the presence of these detrimental insects (Pavela, 2016; Kareru et al., 2013; Renault-Roger, 1997).
Ethnomedicinally the plant has been used in management of malaria, amoeba, Jaundice, urine blockage, stomachache, swellings, external infections, anthrax as well as snake bite. In the treatment of amoeba the roots of *H. forskolii* is mixed with the roots of verbanaceae boiled with milk which was then taken orally (Asnake et al., 2016; Jarsso, 2016; Andarge et al., 2015; Araya et al., 2015; Teklay et al., 2015; Kidane et al., 2014; Kipkore et al., 2014; Teklay et al., 2013). *Hypoestes forskolii* was also famous in killing houseflies among pastoralist where by the barks of the root is chopped, grinded and then added to the fresh milk and exposed to the houseflies to feed on it. Though it has been widely used in management of harmful insects its larvicidal activity against any mosquito species was not yet validated. This study evaluated potencies of larvicidal activity of *H. forskolii* root extracts in opposition to *A. gambiae, A. aegypti* and *C. quinquefasciatus* and avail the secondary metabolites responsible the activity.

1.2 Statement of the problem

Mosquitoes are among the organisms that possess multifaceted life cycle starting from aquatic life with four stages to adult that lives on dry land (Goselle et al., 2017). Mosquito are known to be the major group of insects that cause several tropical diseases and they are very active in diseases transmission than all other Arthropods known (Abou-Enaga, 2014). In its narrowed vein *Aedes aegypti* transmit dengue fever, yellow fever, chikungunya and zika virus (Aliyu, 2012; Kumar et al., 2012). *Culex quinquefasciatus* transmit lymphatic filariosis, enccephalialitis and west nile fever (Ashiwini et al., 2017; Ramar et al., 2014) while *Anopheles gambiae* commonly transmit malaria (WHO, 2003). Chemical pesticides interventions were applied for the management of harmful insects in our life history for some eras to date, but their rational use has given rise to serious problems like genetic confrontation, difficulties in handling and high cost as well as environmental degradation (Lee, 2000; Adeyemi, 2010). More efforts have been employed by the scientists all over the world to find several alternatives and one of it was to shift to the botanical insecticides which are specific to targeted pest, that has no pesticidal resistance records, biodegradable and cost affordable to the community (Khater, 2012; Nikoletta et al., 2011; Erturk et al., 2004; Kabaru et al., 2001). The use of plants with such records of accomplishment by many ethnic groups offers a potential solution. In Tanzania, *H. forskolii* have been used for the management of houseflies among pastoralist communities. The concoction from the roots of this plant is mixed with milk and placed in an open area. Milk is used as insect attractants especially to
houseflies and cockroach. An insect that feeds on the product die instantly as they feed on the product. It is in this vein that the present study evaluated larvicidal activity of *H. forskaolii* against *A. gambiae*, *A. aegypti* and *C. quinquefasciatus*.

1.3 **Rationale of the study**

The study of the larvicidal activities derived from plant origin is important for the safe control of the harmful insects. This study is important since currently the control of the mosquitoes based mostly on the conventional insecticides that have a lot of negative effect to environment and the society. *Hypoestes forskaolii* has very good phytochemical compounds for the development of the product which is potential for managing mosquitoes. Findings from this study will help to develop the larvicidal product from *Hypoestes forskaolii* plant for managing mosquitoes.

1.4 **Objectives**

1.4.1 **General objective**

To validate larvicidal potencies of *H. forskaolii* against *A. gambiae*, *A. aegypti* and *C. quinquefasciatus* and establish structures of secondary metabolites therefrom

1.4.2 **Specific objectives**

(i) To determine larvicidal activities of root extracts of *H. forskaolii* against *A. gambiae*, *A. aegypti* and *C. quinquefasciatus*.

(ii) To characterize larvicidal secondary metabolites from the chloroform root extract of *Hypoestes forskaolii* using GC-MS techniques

1.5 **Significance of the study**

This research revealed secondary metabolites possessing larvicidal properties from *H. forskaolii*. The identified secondary metabolites with larvicidal activity will offered the foundation for the advancement of the new classes for the larvicidal agents that are price helpful and ecologically beneficial to the society.
1.6 Research questions

(i) Which concentration from extract of *H. forskolii* exhibit larvicidal activity against *Anopheles gambiae, Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*?

(ii) Which are the secondary metabolites present in *H. forskolii* chloroform extract?

1.7 Delineation of the study

The present study focused on the evaluation and validation of the larvicidal potencies of *Hypoestes forskolii* against *Anopheles gambiae, Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. The study also focused on phytochemical composition of the chloroform root extract of *Hypoestes forskolii* using GC-MS techniques that will lead to development of the larvicidal product for managing mosquitoes in near future.
CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 General description of mosquitoes and their life cycles

Mosquitoes are vectors for most of the diseases caused by protoctist such as plasmodium as well as viral diseases like Dengue fever (Rathy et al., 2014). It is the fact that mosquitoes are much better known as annoyance insects than causative agents in regions with extreme cold climate. Out of 3000 species identified to at hand, 100 species are causative agents for various human diseases (Elangovan et al., 2008). On mitigating mosquitoes actions have only been directed to some of the very significant species either in adults or in larvae stage. Mosquitoes in nature display 4 dissimilar phases called instars which are eggs, maggots, cocoons and fully developed insect, the adult (Gutierrez et al., 2014). Feminine mosquitoes mate only in one occasion and generate eggs in phases and establish succession for their next generation. Female mosquitoes can only allow mating to take place if it sucks the blood so that eggs can be fertilized and hatched (Ranasinghe et al., 2016). Male mosquitoes do not necessarily require sucking blood and therefore alternatively eat plant dews. Growth of eggs does not usually exceed 3 days in the tropical climates if enough food is present. The female mosquitoes look for conducive areas when they are about to lay their eggs, each after sucking blood and this behavior becomes repetitive.

Female mosquitoes lay eggs over stagnant water frequently for 3 days and they lay up to maximum of 300 eggs depending to their species. In the areas with optimum temperature such as hot climate, the eggs hatch within maximum of 3 days. Other types of species can lay their eggs on dampen line of water or over moist sludge soil and the eggs can be hatched only if inundated with water as described below (WHO, 1997).
The eggs laid on these described wet surfaces above can remain viable for some weeks even if the surfaces become dry. When hatched these larvae grow by following all the phrases to become fully developed adult mosquito. In a favorable environment the hatching period goes up to thirteen days (WHO, 1997).

### 2.2 Mosquitoes eating behavior

Feeding habit in mosquitoes is governed by several factors including sex, species, habitats and feeding time. Female mosquitoes feed on humans and other animals when fertilized while male mosquitoes feed on plant dew (Merritt et al., 1992). Carbon dioxide, heat generated by the body and odors are messengers to attract the mosquitoes to bite humans and other animals. Mosquitoes bites human beings mostly at night but biting also happens during the day. The habitats for mosquitoes range from bushes, around swamps, houses and indoors. It is very common that areas with dense vegetation are the preferred ones by mosquitoes than the open spaces (Merritt et al., 1992). Blood food is difficult to assimilate as a result blood fed mosquitoes look for good hidden place to allow digestion to occur.

### 2.3 Anopheles, Aedes and Culex mosquitoes unique features

In relation to mosquito types, 380 species of Anopheles occurs around the world and out of all 60 species are known to be typically fascinated toward humans. Culex mosquitoes also have approximately 550 species identified and recorded, and most of these are found in both hot and cold climate regions. On the other hand there are over 950 species of Aedes mosquitoes known and they are also found all over the world and they have tendency of...
causing solemn biting annoyance to humans and other mammals in all climatic regions (WHO, 1997). Mosquitoes are usually divided into 2 categories which are those that suck human blood and those that do not suck blood, but they are both proficient of carrying many solemn infections. These are anophelines and culicines. Anopheles, Culex and Aedes have been considered in this study and can be distinguished from each other using different characteristics at each stage of their life (WHO, 1997). The unique feature that can easily differentiate anophelines from the rest of the mosquitoes is the size of the pulps that correlates to proboscis. Anophelines mouth parts and abdomen usually is kept in the same alignment to the surface when at rest as described in the illustration below (WHO, 1997).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anopheles</th>
<th>Aedes</th>
<th>Culex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eggs</strong></td>
<td>Laid singly</td>
<td>Laid singly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Has floats</td>
<td>No floats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Larvae</strong></td>
<td>Rest parallel to water surface</td>
<td>Rest at an angle to the water surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rudimentary breathing tube</td>
<td>Air tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short stout breathing tube with one pair of hair tufts</td>
<td>Long, slender breathing tube with several pairs of hair tufts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Illustration 2: Distinctive features of mosquito species at their larval stage (WHO, 1997)**

### 2.4 Mosquitoes as the vectors for several human diseases

As it has been stated earlier mosquitoes are among of the causative agent of the serious worldwide discomfort troubles acting as carries of man diseases (Abou-Enaga, 2014). These diseases affected most of the world’s population that headed to several cases of transience and morbidity with lots of economic setback (Raj et al., 2015). Most of the cases are reported from African continent, America and Asia (Grigoraki et al., 2016). The transmissions are still periodic and hence their manifestation is consolidated with numerous reasons which include type of weather change, evolution of new mosquito species, large number of tourists, immigration as well as regular visit to endemic areas (Danis et al., 2013). The vector borne disease outbreaks can be effectively prevented by the use of insecticides although the extreme use in both farming and communal wellbeing crooked to the materialization of defiant mosquito classes (Grigoraki et al., 2016).
2.5 Mosquito insecticidal resistance

Insecticidal confrontation is at serious highest peak in community wellbeing, in farming areas and in livestock keeping (Vontas et al., 2012). Various mosquito species are currently dead set against to all pesticide categories as the result they have accelerated this resistance year after a year (WHO, 1996). Several publications have reported defiant mosquito killings due to the evolution of these extremely resistant species (Vontas et al., 2012; Ranson et al., 2010). A good example are the Anopheles mosquito species tested from Africa that displayed high levels of insecticide confrontation in all used types of insecticides in their management mosquitoes duties (Ranson et al., 2011). Currently the market is rich of these insecticides with resistance while the novel one is innovated at the deliberate speed (Ghosh et al., 2012). It is therefore important to develop the novel and innovative botanical biopesticides for the management mosquitoes (Adeyemi, 2010). Managing insecticidal confrontation must be well thought-out and built-in the Integrated Pest Management (IPM) considered being successful management of the mosquitoes. The resistance between various groups of insecticides has to be evaluated and the immediate actions have to be implemented. Genetical factors including sudden change of the portions of the chromosomes at the point interest for the working actions of the insecticide should not be ignored (Ranson et al., 2010).

Understanding the strategies held responsible meant for innovative formulations and prototypes of insecticides have to be initiated by these current investigations that follows confirmed and evidenced scientific procedures. A good example is the detection and characterization of enzymes that abridgment insecticide; this will give the fundamentals of the rational design for enzyme inhibitors which modified necessary chemical compound (Vontas et al., 2012; Ranson et al., 2010).

2.6 Management of mosquitoes

Mosquitoes can be successfully controlled when harmonizing managing techniques are strategically employed in long term. The synthetic organochlorides, organophosphates and dichloro diphenyl trichloroethane (DDT) insecticides were comprehensively applied to overcome the transmissions of the diseases by plummeting density. Several factors including insecticidal vectors population confrontation, fumigating awareness and ecological constrains led to amendment regarding the present vector control strategies. Alternative methods were developed and built-in into the past programmes to capacitate it including the use of effective
The diseases transmission by vectors including mosquitoes and the idea of maintaining healthy environment were the reasons that lead to the present studies. The visions and goals for IMM are mainly focused on proper sanitation which includes removing their food, water and delineating their breeding sites for mosquitoes, water management so as to control stagnation of water, vegetation management as a protection and food for mosquito larvae and the use of larvicides and adulticidal agents. The use of biological predators and parasites should be considered in the management of mosquitoes; for example in some places bacteria and fungi have been applied in control programs. *Bacillus thuringiensis israeliensis* toxin and *Bacillus sphaericus* toxin are the best bioprospecting innovation examples of the insecticide potential in management of mosquitoes (Subramaniam *et al*., 2012). Physical barriers including restrictions through doors, air ventilation spaces and individual protections including use of gears in outdoor activities are also important. Chemical suppression or management particularly using botanical insecticides especially when the mosquitoes are in larval stage in their life cycles is highly recommended (WHO, 1996; Rose, 2001). Larviciding technique in management of mosquitoes is one of the best methods than controlling adult mosquitoes especially when the larvicides originate from botanicals. Management of mosquitoes at larval stage is also the only option in some areas where there is no any natural control to prevent the growth of mosquitoes to matured adults (Ghosh *et al*., 2011).

### 2.7 Management of mosquitoes using botanicals

To date over 1900 species of trees, shrubs and other kinds of vegetation are documented to possess insecticidal properties. These plants possess active chemical compounds that act as either insecticides, repellents or growth inhibitors (Govindarajulu *et al*., 2015). These plants are capable of controlling pests because they possess phytochemical compounds with active ingredients in it for their self defense against herbivorous of insects. Plant based pesticides is safe to ecosystem and these secondary metabolites give another option to control mosquitoes. Investigated phytochemicals are therefore good as an exchange source of insecticides in struggle towards mosquitoes (Govindarajulu *et al*., 2015). Among several plants investigated *Hypoestes forskaolii* have also been investigated, studied and reported in managing mosquitoes as traced from ethno medicinal information.
2.8 Taxonomical hierarchy of *H. forskaloii* plant

The genus *Hypoestes* comprises of more than 300 species, which are widely dispersed all over the areas surrounding tropical zones including Tanzania. Genus name *Hypoestes* originated from the Greek word ‘hypo’ that means below and ‘estia’, which means house. This means bracts that, cover the flowers. *H.forskaolii* is the plant species which belongs to kingdom Plantae, division Tracheophyta, class Magnoliopsida, order Lamiales, family Acanthaceae and the genus Hypoestes. The common relative includes crossandrass (firecrackers), aphelandras (zebra plants), black eyed Susans and Justicias (shrimp plants). The plant has three subspecies which are *Hypoestes forskaolii* (vahl) R. Br, *Hypoestes forskaolii* (vahl) Roem & Schult and Asystasia mynensis (Roth) T Anderson. *Hypoestes forskaolii* derived its name after Pehr Forsskal (1732-1763) the student of Linnaeus travelled and collected it in Egypt and the Arabian Peninsula. *H. forskaolii* possess other common names depending to the community around the world for example in Zambia it is called “Rumanyo”. In Ethiopia, it is known as “Dergu”. However it is commonly known as white ribbon bush in English language. In Kiswahili the plant is also known as “Majani ya punda” by the fact that *Hypoestes forskaolii* can only be eaten by the donkey only when it’s very drought and there is no optional food for these animals.

![Plate 1: *Hypoestes forskaolii* plant after seven months of planting in the field](image)

2.9 *Hypoestes forskaolii* geographical distribution in Africa and ecology

Hypoestes is a group of dicot plants with many species which is widely dispersed all over the tropical areas and around the Indian Ocean extending to some adjacent regions including
Tanzania, Ethiopia, Kenya and Zambia, extending to Saharan highlands, Arabia and Madagascar

Figure 1: Map of Africa, the distribution of *Hypoestes forskaolii* plant (International plant names index and world checklist of selected plant families, 2018).

*Hypoestes forskaolii* accepted and its native range is Africa to South West Arabian peninsula. It is very widespread and often abundant species in a wide range of habitats and the most frequently encountered species of acanthaceae in tropical Africa. *Hypoestes forskaolii* was assessed as a least concern in the Red List of South African Plants (Kamundi, 2006). Ecologically *H. forskaolii* is a polymorphic species recorded from most habitats mostly common in open woodland and wooded grass land and on sand soils and rocky slopes and disturbed areas such as road sides but also occurring in river Rhine and open forest.

2.10 Ethno insecticidal and medicinal use of *Hypoestes forskaolii*

*Hypoestes forskaolii* has been used traditionally for the management of anthrax, malaria, amoeba, jaundice; stomach-ache, urine blockage and snake bite to human. The leaves and twigs of *H. forskaolii* are used as botanical (Kipkore et al., 2014). In Tanzania the plant is used as insecticidal agent against houseflies where by the barks of the root is chopped, grinded and then added to the fresh milk and exposed to the houseflies to feed on it. In treating amoeba the roots of *H. forskaolii* is mixed with the roots of verbanaceae boiled with milk and then taken orally (Teklay et al., 2013). Jaundice is treated by the leaves of *H. forskaolii* crushed, squeezed and the juice taken orally (Araya et al., 2015). *Hypoestes forskaolii* is also used in treatment of stomach ache (Kidane et al., 2014). Snake bite to human being is also treated by the powdered roots of *H. forskaolii* (Andarge et al., 2015). *Hypoestes forskaolii* leaves have also been used in urine blockage problems where the leaves
dried, crushed, added water and taken daily (Belay, 2016). Various parts of *H. forskaolii* have been used to treat anthrax by being mixed with other plants (Teklay *et al*., 2015; Teklay *et al*., 2013). Malaria is also treated by the roots of *H. forskaolii* (Asnake *et al*., 2016). Since *H. forskaolii* showed effectiveness against houseflies among pastoralist communities its efficacy can be evaluated against *A. gambiae, A. aegypti* and *C. quinquefasciatus*.

### 2.11 Previous biological and phytochemical investigations on *H. forskaolii*

In the study done by Musayebi *et al.* (2014) it was reported that *H. forskaolii* methanol extracts had moderate activity against *Plasmodium falciparum*, *Leishmania infantum*, *Trypanosoma cruzi* and *Trypanosoma brucei*. In the same study it was also reported that *H. forskaolii* had two dieterpenes which are hypoestenonols A and B, verticillarone and hypoestenone compounds. However *H. forskaolii* have been reported to possess cytotoxicity against melanocytes (HBF4) cells of a human being (Almehdar *et al*., 2011).

### 2.12 *Hypoestes forskaolii* as a source of honeybees forage

*Hypoestes forskaolii* is one of the most important honeybee plants. The plant is important honey source and honeybees forage it for the abundant pollen and nectar. Honey bees using *H.forskaolii* produce large quantities of light and pure white honey, which has high demand and price in the market, and generate high income for the beekeepers (Haftom *et al*., 2011). However *H. forskaolii* produces white flower between Septembers to November in a year (Plate 2).

---

**Plate 2: Hypoestes forskaolii** plant flowering in months of September to November  
Source: Flora of Zimbabwe
2.13 Conservation of potential medicinal plants in general

The market thirst for remedial plants in several emergent countries gained its unsystematic harvesting of various medicinal plant species including forests. In regardless of these medicinal plants suffering consequences of dramatic decrease due to growth in agricultural sector, deforestation and infrastructure associated developments the demand in international market and lack of knowledge on conservation among traditional healers have placed a serious threat on a number of medicinal plants worldwide (Cunningham, 1996)

Despite the fact that there are organized regulated sectors for the management of sustainable use of our natural resources still medicinal plants are in high risk of being endangered or threatened due to unwise exploitation with no attention to the future. Unwise use of Medicinal plants for health support needs, earnings generation and livelihood precautions also confirm the controversy of the extinction of these important and potential resources (Hamilton, 2003; Cunningham, 1993). Massive harvest of barks, roots, and whole plants from wild populations are the major reasons to numerous extinctions.

The sustainable use of leaves, flowers, seeds and fruits should be encouraged if they contain the same bioactive chemical compounds. Conservation and cultivation of medicinal plants in botanical gardens must be given priority along with other conservation options and market incentives. Plants are important for our aesthetics as ornaments since they are beautiful and important part of our environment (Rukangira, 2001).

Kasagana et al. (2011) explained that the reason behind this protection is to allow biological resource to be harvested without finishing it from the nature. This includes collection, propagation, characterization and evaluation. The protection of plant hereditary possessions has been understood to part of biodiversity conservation of the present and the future generation.

2.14 Hypoestes forskaolii propagation

Hypoestes forskaolii posse’s alternative generation to propagate and it produces flowers which develop to the seed between September to November in a year. However in case where environment is not conducive for gametophyte generation H. forskaolii can be propagated by splitting, cutting, ground layering, and air layering under in-situ or under natural growing areas (Haftom et al., 2011). This can be best alternative of ensuring sustainable availability of
H. forskaolii in the community. *Hypoestes forskaolii* can be used as an ornament for home decoration due to its nice green color with white flowers visited by beautiful insects and its tolerance capacity to drought throughout the year.
CHAPTER THREE
MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1 Collection of plants roots and preparation of extracts

Plant roots of Hypoestes forskaolii was taken from Endasak village in Hanang district, Manyara region. Hypoestes forskaolii species was classified by Dr. Epraim Njau, who is the specialist in plant identification in the National Herbarium of Tanzania and the prepared plant specimen coded was kept at NM-AIST. The plant roots were washed, chopped, blended, pulverized and sequentially macerated using chloroform and methanol for 72 h. The mixtures were exposed to rotor evaporator to obtain clear crude extracts which was then kept in refrigerator having -20°C waiting for testing time. Final residues were subjected to larvicidal activity test and to GC-MS analysis test.

Plate 3: Preparation of the chloroform and methanol root extracts of H. forskaolii

3.2 Preparations of mosquito’s larvae

Three species of mosquito’s larvae instars of 3rd stage which are Anopheles gambiae, Aedes aegypti and Culex quinquefasciatus were raised, identified as well as prepared at Tropical Pesticide Research Institute (TPRI) Arusha, Tanzania.

3.3 Larvicidal activity

WHO protocol of the year 1996 was adopted for larvicidal activity assay with minor modifications. Larvae of A. aegypti and C. quinquefasciatus were fed using dog biscuits while A. gambiae were fed with tetramine during experiments. Stock solutions for methanol
and chloroform *H. forskaolii* roots crude extracts were prepared and used in this study. 500 mg/mL of crude extracts was dissolved in 5ml of DMSO respectively. Using serial dilution concentrations in a range of 25, 50, 100, 200 and 750 μg/mL were prepared from stock solution. Each concentration was made to 100 ml by adding distilled water in disposable cups. Ten late third instars larva’s of mosquito were put into the solution to test and the number of death were identified and recorded after 24 h, 36 h and 72 h. Small beakers with ten larvae of mosquitoes, purified water and 0.5 μg/ml of DMSO were considered to be control conduct test. Experiments were then conducted using four replicates in the regulated temperature of 25 ± 2 °C with humidity ranging between 75 to 85%. Dead larvas were then recognized with no capacity to become mobile and we’re not able to reach water surface. The mean percentage mortality was calculated and using statistical tool the lethal concentrations (LC50) required to kill the larvae of mosquitoes tested was obtained.

### 3.4 GC-MS analysis

GC-MS with the capacity of 6890N GC connected to 5975 MS which are the USA technologies having HP-5 column with 30 m length, 0.25 mm dimensions and 0.25 μm film thicknesses were used to analyzed phytochemical compounds. Injection volume with 1μL and the constant flowing carrier gas known as Helium in 99.999% were considered. Temperature in the injector was stabilized to around 250°C while in the ion-source the heat was 280°C but in oven heat was maintained to constant of 110°C (isothermal for 2 min), having amplification of 10°C/min to 200°C, and then from 5°C/min to 280°C, finishing with a 9 min isothermal at 280°C. Electron ionization mode with oomphs of 70 eV with the ion source heat of 230°C was operated using mass spectrometer. Inlet line heat with 200°C in GC-MS was run in 36 min. Mass spectrometry interpretations from GC-MS was conducted referring to National Institute Standard and Technology (NIST) database having over 62 000 patterns. Spectrums of detected compounds in *H. forskaolii* chloroform root extract were assimilated and compared to spectrums in NIST library. The name, molecular weight and structure of the phytochemicals in *H. forskaolii* chloroform root extract were then analysed.
Plate 4: GC-MS analysis techniques

3.5 Statistical Analysis

FigP software (Biosoft, Cambridge, UK) was used for analysis and mean percentage mortality was plotted against logarithms of concentrations. For regression equations, LC$_{50}$, Confidence Interval and Regression Coefficients were calculated.
CHAPTER FOUR
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Results

4.1.1 Larvicidal activity results

Present study evaluated the larvicidal activities to the early 3rd instars of *A. aegypti*, *A. gambiae* as well as *C. quinquefasciatus* using chloroform as well as methanolic root extracts of *Hypoestes forskaolii* as presented in Table 1, 2 and 3 respectively. Larva’s of mosquitoes were exposed to extracts prepared in dimethyl sulphoxide at deliberation series between 25 to 750 μg/mL and mortality were recorded subsequently to 24 h, 36 h and 72 h of exposure. Referring from (Dias et al., 2015) as well as (Komalamisra et al., 2005), larvicidal properties of the plant extract is measured as inactive if LC₅₀ is greater than 750 μg/mL, weakly effective if the LC₅₀ range from 200-750 μg/mL, moderate if LC₅₀ range from 100-200 μg/mL, effective if the LC₅₀ is between 50-100 μg/mL and highly effective if the LC₅₀ is less than 50 μg/mL.

Results from this study displayed larvicidal activities from *H. forskaolii* against three species of mosquitoes tested, giving LC₅₀ values between 220.4789-3.8989 μg/mL for *A. aegypti*, LC₅₀ of 69.6596-2.0322 μg/mL for *A. gambiae* and LC₅₀ of 177.5595-6.0004 μg/mL for *C. quinquefasciatus*. Chloroform and methanolic were highly effective after 72h of exposure, and this proved the extracts to be remarkably significant in controlling the larva’s of mosquitoes tested. The activities were specific to particular species and this evidently discovered that chloroform extract have privileged larvicidal activity with LC₅₀ of 3.8989 μg/mL against *A. aegypti* (Table 1), LC₅₀ of 2.0322 μg/mL against *A. gambiae* (Table 2) and LC₅₀of 6.004 μg/mL against *C. quinquefasciatus* (Table 3) in 72 h of exposure. The methanolic extract had the LC₅₀ of 11.5432 μg/mL against *A. aegypti*, LC₅₀ of 9.5728 μg/mL against *A. gambiae* and LC₅₀ of 6.4358 μg/mL against *C. quinquefasciatus* in 72 h of exposure. The results also showed effective, moderate and weakly effective larvicidal activity for both chloroform and methanolic extract after 24 h of contact. Chloroform extracts had LC₅₀ of 154.6019 μg/mL against *A. aegypti*, LC₅₀ of 177.5595μg/mL against *A. gambiae* and LC₅₀ of 69.6596μg/mL against *C. quinquefasciatus*. Larvicidal effects values described by *H. forskaolii* root extract were all less than 750 μg/mL which justify its use in managing mosquito larvae tested.
Table 1: Larvicide activities of *Hypoestes forskaolii* root extracts in opposition to *Aedes aegypti*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract code</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>LC₅₀(μg/mL)</th>
<th>95% (UCL-LCL)</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HFCE</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>154.6019</td>
<td>1706.3408-14.0076</td>
<td>0.938</td>
<td>y=10.57logx +26.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36h</td>
<td>15.0053</td>
<td>110.7175-2.0336</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>y=10.44logx +37.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72h</td>
<td>3.8989</td>
<td>22.1742-0.6856</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>y=12.15logx + 42.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFME</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>220.4789</td>
<td>904.4034-53.7492</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>y=18.77logx +6.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36h</td>
<td>56.3484</td>
<td>226.2731-14.0323</td>
<td>0.946</td>
<td>y=17.02logx +20.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72h</td>
<td>11.5432</td>
<td>54.3812-2.4502</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>y=14.28logx +34.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTROL</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:**

HFCE - *H. forskaolii* chloroform root extract, HFME - *H. forskaolii* methanolic root extract, ND- No death in each concentration tested .HCL-Highest Confidentiality Limit, LCL-Least Confidentiality limit, LC₅₀ -Lethal Concentration, Confidentiality Interval and R²-Regression Coefficient

Table 2: Larvicide activities of *Hypoestes forskaolii* root extracts in opposition to *Anopheles gambiae*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract code</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>LC₅₀(μg/mL)</th>
<th>95% (UCL-LCL)</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HFCE</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>69.6596</td>
<td>330.1227-14.6989</td>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>y=15.03logx +22.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36h</td>
<td>8.8111</td>
<td>33.1905-2.3391</td>
<td>0.954</td>
<td>y=16.19logx +34.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72h</td>
<td>2.0322</td>
<td>6.6260-0.6233</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>y=16.82logx +44.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFME</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>37.1001</td>
<td>159.9947-8.6029</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>y=16.00logx +24.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36h</td>
<td>7.4977</td>
<td>25.1042-2.2393</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>y=17.43logx +34.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72h</td>
<td>9.5728</td>
<td>15.22-1.5400</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>y=8.965logx +68.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTROL</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:**

HFCE - *H. forskaolii* chloroform root extract, HFME - *H. forskaolii* methanolic root extract, ND- No death in each concentration tested. HCL-Highest Confidentiality Limit, LCL-Least Confidentiality limit, LC₅₀ -Lethal Concentration, Confidentiality Interval and R²-Regression Coefficient
Table 3: Larvicidal activities of *H. forskaolii* root extracts in opposition to *Culex quinquefasciatus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extract code</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>LC50 (μg/mL)</th>
<th>95% (UCL-LCL)</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>Regression equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HFCE</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>177.5595</td>
<td>1661.1320-18.9794</td>
<td>0.856</td>
<td>y=11.43logx + 24.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36h</td>
<td>18.0962</td>
<td>97.3554-3.3637</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>y=13.51logx +33.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72h</td>
<td>6.0004</td>
<td>27.4143-1.3133</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>y=13.93logx +39.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFME</td>
<td>24h</td>
<td>137.7328</td>
<td>530.6684-35.7471</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>y=18.70logx +10.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36h</td>
<td>31.7442</td>
<td>112.8697-8.9271</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>y=18.02logx +22.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72h</td>
<td>6.4358</td>
<td>23.0496-1.7961</td>
<td>0.972</td>
<td>y=16.51logx +36.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTROL</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**KEY:**

HFCE - *H. forskaolii* chloroform root extract, HFME - *H. forskaolii* methanolic root extract, ND- No death in each concentration tested. HCL-Highest Confidentiality Limit, LCL-Least Confidentiality limit, LC₅₀ -Lethal Concentration, Confidentiality Interval and R²-Regression Coefficient

### 4.1.2 GC-MS results

GC-MS systems were considered to spot out twenty three compounds present in *Hypoestes forskaolii* root extracts prepared by using chloroform. Retention time, peak areas, molecular weights, molecular formulas as well as bioactivity of the phytochemical compounds were presented in Table 4. The phytochemical compounds obtained feel right to metabolites classes known as sesquiterpenes, diterpenes, fatty acids and alkane. Sesquiterpenes composed large extent than the other secondary metabolites found in *H. forskaolii* chloroform root extract. The sesquiterpenes identified were Piperonal, Caryophyllene, Caryophyllene oxide, β-Humulene, α-farnesene, Nerolidol, Patchouline, γ-Cadinene, Viridiflorol, Bicyclo [5.2.0] nonane, 2-methylene-4, 8, 8-trimethyl-4-vinyl, Alloaromadendrene oxide, Epiglobulol, Longipinarne, Curcumene, Globulol, Calamene, α -Cedrene and Copaene (Fig. 1). The fatty acids identified were n-hexadecanoic acid, 9, 12, -Octadecadienoic acid Z, Z and Octadecanoic acid while diterpene Kaurene as well as Eicosane alkane were also identified (Fig. 2).

### 4.2 Discussion

To easily and successfully manage mosquitoes it is better to consider their life cycle that starting from larvae stage. Larvae are easily targeted in their breeding sites which are
stagnant water that can be easily accessed, but the use of artificially formulated pesticides are harmful to human being as well as ecosystem (Abagavan et al., 2011; Subramiam et al., 2012). Biopesticides resulting from plants are therefore shows potential means especially for managing these larvae of mosquitoes. *Hypoestes forskolii* has been ethinomedically used to cure mosquitoes borne ailments in Africa (Asnake et al., 2016; Uzair et al., 2015; Hemalatha et al., 2015; Warikoo et al., 2012). Currently Musayeib and Cowokers (2014) reported antiprotozoal activity of methanolic extracts against *Plasmodium falciparum, Leishmania infantum, Trypanosoma cruzi* and *Trypanosoma brucei*.

The present study evaluated the larvicidal activity of *H. forskolii* against *A. aegypti, A. gambiae* and *C. quinquefasciatus* and generally the mortality occurred up to 50% with LC$_{50}$ between 220.4789 and 2.0322 in chloroform and methanol extracts. The activity indicates that it was concentration dependant as demonstrated by the variations in concentration as time increases. In all experiments done the LC$_{50}$ values obtained were not greater than 750 μg/mL and hence all the LC$_{50}$ values are potential for developing the botanical larvicidal agent for managing mosquitoes in various concentrations with range of choice. Considering the efficacy of *H. forskolii* root extracts against *A. aegypti* the LC$_{50}$ values ranged from 220.4789 to 3.8989 which were moderately effective to highly effective in the classification of the effectiveness of the larvicides as stated in the results section with the LC$_{50}$ values ranging from 200 to 50 μg/mL. After 72 h the LC$_{50}$ values range from 11.5432 to 3.8989 which is also highly effective by the fact that LC$_{50}$ values are classified that the values are less than 50 μg/mL as referred in Fig. 2 below:
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Larvicides activity from _Hypoestes forskalii_ root extracts towards _Anopheles gambiae_ also demonstrated active larvicidal activity where the LC$_{50}$ values were ranging from 69.6596 to 2.0322 μg/mL which were classified as effective to highly effective lethal concentrations. After 72 h of exposure the most highly effective extracts was chloroform extract with LC$_{50}$ 2.0322 μg/mL and followed by methanolic extract with LC$_{50}$ value of 9.5728 μg/mL. Although LC$_{50}$ values recordings after 24 h of exposure for both chloroform and methanol extracts are also promising for the formulation of larvicidal product for the management of _Anopheles gambiae_ species as shown in Fig. 3 below:

![LC50 Graph](image)

**Figure 3: Larvicidal activity of Hypoestes forskalii root extracts against Anopheles gambiae**

In _Culex quinquefasciatus_ species the level of resistance to _H. forskalii_ chloroform and methanol root extracts ranged within the LC$_{50}$ values from 177.5595 to 6.0004 μg/mL. These values are still suitable for establishing grounds for developing safety larvicidal product for controlling _C. quinquefasciatus_ species. The LC$_{50}$ values ranged between moderate to highly effective concentration levels which are also suitable for sustainable control of mosquito species. After 72 h of exposure the LC$_{50}$ values were 6.4358 μg/mL and 6.0004 μg/mL which were classified as highly effective but after 24 h lethal concentrations was 177.5595 as well as 137.7328 μg/mL. The result for chloroform and methanol extracts therefore indicates that _C. quinquefasciatus_ mosquitoes can be managed by _H. forskalii_ extracts (Fig. 4)
Figure 4: Larvicidal activity of *Hypoestes forskaolii* root extracts against *Culex quinquefasciatus*

The results from *Hypoestes forskaolii* root extracts therefore justify its use from ethnomedicinal information. Botanical insecticides are given priorities due to minor toxicity levels and safeness of the ecosystem than conventional pesticides (Jayapriya *et al*., 2015). Results of the present investigations exposed the fact realizing the extracts of *H. forskaolii* possessed remarkable larvicidal activity against *A. aegypti*, *A. gambiae* and *C. quinquefasciatus*. Therefore development of the larvicidal product from the *H. forskaolii* root extract as a botanical source of pesticide should be put in action because it has been investigated and showed the remarkable and promising array of phytochemical compounds present for larvicidal activity.

The GC-MS technique were considered to investigate *H. forskaolii* chloroform root extracts (Kilonzo *et al*., 2017). Secondary metabolite classified to sesquiterpenes, dieterpenes and fatty acids was recognized. The phytochemical compounds identified have been reported to demonstrate exciting bioactivities that work for cure of various ailments as reported in Table 4.

Antiinflammatory activities were recorded to be exhibited by phytochemical compounds which are 9, 12,-octadecadienoic acid Z, Z, caryophyllene, Bicyclo [5.2.0] nonane, 2-methylene-4, 8, 8-trimthyl-4-vinyl, Octadecanoic acid and Kaurene (Kilonzo *et al*., 2017; Cheng-fang *et al*., 2015; Arunkumark, 2013; Leandro *et al*., 2012). However Bicyclo [5.2.0] nonane, 2-
methylene-4, 8, 8-trimethyl-4-vinyl- the phytochemical compound known to possess not only antiinflammatory activities but also antihyperlipidemic properties (Prakasia et al., 2015).

Phytochemical compounds that have been recorded to display larvicidal/insecticidal properties includes caryophyllene oxide, n-hexadecanoic acid, α-farnesene, caryophyllene, β-humulene and Patchoulane (Kilonzo et al., 2017; Prabodh et al., 2014; Zekeya et al., 2014; Alejandro et al., 2013; Murugesan et al., 2012; Rajeswari et al., 2011). Curcumene, n-hexadecanoic acid and γ-Cadinene compounds also demonstrated to have antioxidant activity (Otitolaiye et al., 2016; Aditi et al., 2013; Meenakshi, 2013). Caryophyllene oxide compound has also been reported to function as trypanocidal, antiedemic, antifeedant, antiinflammatory and antitumor activities (Polanco-Hernández et al., 2013).

Phytochemical compounds with the purpose of exhibiting antimicrobial activity were Globulol, Kaurene, Alloaromadendrene oxide, α-Cedrene, Copaene and viridiflorol (Sahi, 2016; Murugesan et al., 2012; Leandro et al., 2012; Sousa et al., 2012; Jain et al., 2012; Solis et al., 2004). A number of pharmaceutical researches have been performed with Kaurene compound in determination anti-inflammatory, bactericidal and toxicity effects. The possible toxicity from kaurenoic acid tested to sea urchins and inhibitory activities for tumor cells growth were also studied (Leandro et al., 2012). Therefore identifying kaurenoic acid in H. forskaolii root extract is not only important to larvicidal agent formulation for mosquito management but also to the other pharmacological bioactivities.

Alloaromadendrene oxide, Nerolidol, Curcumene, α–Cedrene and Viridiflorol have been reported to have antifungal activity (Sahi, 2016; Curvelo et al., 2014; Murugesan et al., 2012). Siqueira et al. (2001) also studied essential oil from leaves of D. glabriuscula pinpointed that Alloaromadendrene showed toxicity on A. salina with LC50 1.6 g/mL. Regardless of 0.1% concentrations in oils of D. lanceolata, Alloaromadendrene demonstrated toxicity with LC50 value 7.8 μg/mL as the active substance responsible compound for that activity.

Sivasubramanian et al. (2013) also studied on cytotoxicity potentials of the ethanol extract of aerial parts of an Asteraceae drug source Centratherum punctatum cass and reported that the plant has been used to control various ailments like cancer, inflammation, intestinal disorders, fever and pain. The same authors also reported that compounds Viridiflorol, Hexadecanoic acid and Eicosane possess anticancer activity.
Table 4: Reported biological activities of volatile phytochemical compounds detected in *H. forskaolii* chloroform root extract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SN</th>
<th>RT (min)</th>
<th>Area (%)</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>M/f</th>
<th>M/Wt</th>
<th>Bioactivity</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.820</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Piperonal</td>
<td>C_{16}H_{20}O_{3}</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Repellent</td>
<td>Dambolena <em>et al.</em> (2016) and Chen <em>et al.</em> (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>24.313</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Caryophyllene oxide</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{16}O</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>Larvicidal, Insecticidal</td>
<td>Magalhaes <em>et al.</em> (2010) and Rajeswari <em>et al.</em> (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.063</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>Nerolidol</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{30}O</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>Antifungal</td>
<td>Curvelo <em>et al.</em> (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>19.306</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>9, 12 octadecadienoic acid (Z, Z)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C_{16}H_{12}O</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>Insecticidal, Nematicide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.844</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>n-hexadecanoic acid</td>
<td>C_{16}H_{32}O_{2}</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>Antioxidant, Larvicidal</td>
<td>Meenakshi (2013) and Romeh, (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.515</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>α-Farnesene</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{24}</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Insecticidal</td>
<td>Kilonzo <em>et al.</em> (2017) and Zhang <em>et al.</em> (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18.374</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>Caryophyllene</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{14}</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Anti-inflammatory, Antitumor</td>
<td>Cheng-fang <em>et al.</em> (2015) and Damasceno <em>et al.</em> (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>21.658</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>β-Humulene</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{24}</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Insecticidal</td>
<td>Satyal <em>et al.</em> (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>20.285</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>bicyclo [5.2.0] nonane, 4- Methylene-2, 8-Trimethyl-2-vinyl</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{24}</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Anti-inflammatory</td>
<td>Prakasia <em>et al.</em> (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.741</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>Octadecanoic acid</td>
<td>C_{18}H_{36}O</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>Nematicide, Anti-inflammatory</td>
<td>Amala <em>et al.</em> (2014), Kilonzo <em>et al.</em> (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>18.511</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>Kaurene</td>
<td>C_{30}H_{12}</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>Antibacterial, Anti-inflammatory</td>
<td>Leandro <em>et al.</em> (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>31.866</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>Eicosane</td>
<td>C_{30}H_{12}</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>Antitumor</td>
<td>Karanja <em>et al.</em> (2012) and Nandhini 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12.119</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>Alloaromadendrene</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{20}O</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>Antibacterial, Antifungal</td>
<td>Sahi. (2016) and Chen <em>et al.</em> 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.124</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>Epiglobulol</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{10}O</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>Antiseptic, Antioxidant, Anti-inflammatory</td>
<td>Jain <em>et al.</em> (2012) and Mohammed <em>et al.</em> (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.592</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>Longipinane</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{20}</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>Anti-pedant</td>
<td>Otitolaiye <em>et al.</em> (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>7.158</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>Curcumipine</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{12}</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>Antifungal, Antioxidant</td>
<td>Otitolaiye <em>et al.</em> (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.535</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>α-Cedrene</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{14}</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Antifungal, Antibacterial</td>
<td>Murugesan <em>et al.</em> (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>13.321</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>Globulol</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{20}O</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>Antibacterial</td>
<td>Sahi. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.206</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Calamene</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{12}</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>Antioxidant, Antimicrobial</td>
<td>Azevedo <em>et al.</em> (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>7.982</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>γ-Cadinene</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{24}</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Insecticidal, Antioxidant</td>
<td>Murugesan <em>et al.</em> (2012) and Aditi <em>et al.</em> (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>12.514</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>Viridiflorol</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{10}O</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>Anticancer, Antibacterial, Antifungal</td>
<td>Sivasubramaniam <em>et al.</em> (2013) and Jain. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>25.806</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>Patchoulane</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{20}</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>Insecticidal</td>
<td>Alejandro <em>et al.</em> (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.982</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>Copaene</td>
<td>C_{15}H_{14}</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>Antibacterial</td>
<td>Solis <em>et al.</em> (2004)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5: Structures of Piperonal (1), Caryophyllene (2), Caryophyllene oxide (3), β-Humulene (4), α-farnesene (5), Nerolidol (6), Patchouline (7), γ-Cadinene (8), Viridiflorol (9), Bicyclo [5.2.0] nonane, 2-methylene-4, 8, 8-trimethyl-4vinyl (10), Alloaromadendrene oxide (11), Epiglobulol (12), Longipinane (13), Curcumene (14), Cuparene (15), Calamenene (16), α -Cedrene (17) and Copaene (18) identified from *H. forskaolii* chloroform root extract

Figure 6: Structures of n-hexadecanoic acid (19), 9, 12, -Octadecadienoic acid Z, Z (20), Octadecanoic acid (21), Kaurene (22) and Eicosane (23) identified from *H. forskaolii* chloroform root extract
Caryophyllene and Eicosane have also been documented to have antitumor potencies (Rency et al., 2015; Sivasubramanian et al., 2013) while the compound Viridiflorol posse’s anticancer activity (Sivasubramanian et al., 2013). The compound Epiglobulol have been reported to be antiseptic (Jain et al., 2012) while Longipinane compound have been reported to be antipedant (Otitolaiye et al., 2016), n-hexadecanoic and Octadecanoic acid have been reported to have Nematicide activity (Rency et al., 2015; Amala et al., 2014; Archana et al., 2014; Meenakish et al., 2013; Romeh, 2013; Jananie et al., 2011).

Amala et al., (2014) also depicted that octadecanoic acid which is the fatty acid compound was present in the methanolic extracts Terminalia chebula and Terminalia bellirica and been used for hypercholesterolemic, antiarthritic, antiinflammatory, antimicrobial, hepatoprotective and Nematicide. Caryophyllene oxide as one among the chemical compounds reported has been investigated for larvicidal activities against A. aegyptiae (Magalhaes et al., 2010).

Sousa et al. (2012) in a nut shell reported the fact for caryophyllene oxide which was identified in Solanum erianthum and Solanum macranthum. Essential oils from these plants inhibited development of microbes while caryophyllene oxide demonstrated antifungal activity against Candida albicans. Bioactivities obtained from this investigation can be used as bench mark in using of H. forskaolii managing variety of diseases. Therefore plants including H. forskaolii are potential sources for larvicidal agents that can be used in development of the potential larvicidal product in managing larva of A. gambiae, A. aegypti and C. quinquefasciatus
CHAPTER FIVE

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

*Hypoestes forskolii* plant root extracts showed very effective larvicidal activity against *A. gambiae*, *A. aegypti*, *C. quinquefasciatus* Say; therefore it can be used in formulation of potential product for the management of mosquitoes. The GC-MS analysis of *H. forskolii* chloroform root extract led to identification of low molecular weight phytochemicals which verified the use of *H. forskolii* in controlling mosquitoes. These phytochemicals are grouped as sesquiterpenes, dieterpenes, fatty acids and alkane. Findings from this study have therefore validated the medicinal potential of *H. forskolii* chloroform and methanol root extracts including formulation of the larvicidal products.

Botanical larvicides can be processed in various ways, principally as crude plant material in the form of powder or dust. It can be as extracts from plant resins formulated into liquid concentrations. Pure isolated constituents by extraction, chromatographic techniques or hydro distillation of the plant tissues can be the best of processing pesticide.

Technically the lower the values the more effective the product will be (Okwute, 2012). The LC$_{50}$ which is the concentration required to be killing up to 50% of the *A. gambiae*, *A. aegypti* and *C. quinquefasciatus* have been demonstrated in this study with promising results for both chloroform and methanol extracts. Therefore these information for *H. forskolii* can be used to formulate the larvicidal agent and useful in managing of these important vectors of human diseases.

5.2 Recommendations

*Hypoestes forskolii* plant can be conserved and managed by encouraging their growth in special places, due to the fact that it can be also grown artificially by using its stems. *Hypoestes forskolii* can be grown in a range of climate as it also withstands harsh environment like in salt areas, drought areas and rocky land and along the roads. Considering its greenish colour throughout the year and beauty white flowers *H. forskolii* can also be planted as an ornament in various respective places such as churches, mosques, offices, schools, offices, higher learning institutions and research institutions.
Since *H. forskaolii* is also potential for production of quality white honey it can also be planted in various open areas such as grave yards, scared grooves, farm margins, river banks, road sides, live fences of gardens and fields. Asfaw (2001) also documented that medicinal plants can be conserved by using appropriate conservational methods in gene banks and botanical gardens. These types of conservation of medicinal plants can also be possible in home gardens, as the home garden is deliberate and ideal farming system for the conservation, it can also be employed for the production which can be used to increase marginalized income by selling to the pharmaceutical industries by the community.
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